HINDUTVA

WHO IS A HINDU?

€

आसिषु सिषु-पर्यन्ता यस्य भारत-भूमिका। पितृभू: पुण्यभूदचीव स वै हिंदुरिति स्मृतः॥

"A HINDU means a person who regards this land of BHARATVARSHA, from the Indus to the Seas as his Father-Land as well as his Holy-Land that is the cradle land of his religion."

- By -

Vinayak Damodar Savarkar

भा ऋक्षादंहसो मुचद्यो वार्यात्सप्तासंघुपु। वधदासस्य तुविनृम्ण नीनमः॥ ऋ. ८–२४–२७

"Who delivers this our Nation of Sapta Sindhus who endows us with wealth, do thou O I Lord, hurl thy mighty thunder-bolt to destroy our enemies—the Dasns."

5~3

Price Rs. Two

VEER SAVARKAR PRAKASHAN Savarkar Sadan, Bombay 28.

Preface by the Publisher of second Editon

The romantic nistory of almost all books by Veer Savarkar apart from the originality and excellence of their subject and style, does in itself entitle them to get prominently featured in the world classics

The history of this book on "Hindutva" also does not form an exception to this general statement

(1) It was during his stay from 1906 to 1910 in England that the attention of Veer Savarkarii was drawn pointedly to the question as to who can by precisely called a 'Hindu' There was a perfect chaos raging in India at that time as regards this problem which owing to the controversy in connection with communal representation assumed an acutely Political aspect The Arya-Samajists, the Sikhs, the Brahmos the Jains, and several other important constituents which composed and formed along with the Sanatanists the great Hindu community were some times counted as Hindus and at other times as non-Hindus as a whim or fad, a policy or petty interests dictated. From the most eminent scholars both Indian and English right down to the penny aliner in the daily sheets, each and all who got interested in the subject tried to define the word 'Hindu' How the more precisely they tried to define the word 'Hindu' the more confounded the confusion grew, could best be seen by the fact that a respectable

and studied booklet published at that time on the subject "Who is a Hindu" could collect some fifty definitions of the word which then held the field and how the author of it at last summed up the argument by pointing out that the word 'Hindu' was simply undefinable!

After studying this subject from all its view points Veer Savarkarji came to the conclusion that the real cause of failure in arriving at a comprehensive as well as a correct definition of the word 'Hindu' lay in the popular error of identifying the word almost entirely. with its religious aspect alone. By 'A Hindu' they only understood one who owned Hinduism i. e. Hindu religion. Further on, even this solitary religious aspect was understood and emphasised rather exclusively in its dogmatic or doctrinal forms. But it was very naturally or almost inevitably found that no unity or uniformity could be traced which was comprehensive enough to encompass the beliefs, the dogmas, the doctrines, symbolical or scriptural owned by the crores and crores of people who constituted the great Hindu community. Above all they never grasped the full import of the national aspect implied in the word 'Hindu'. The inevitable consequence was that some scholars and politicians gave up altogether the attempt to define the word 'Hindu' and those who persisted in it framed inspite of their scholarly and erudite treatment, definitions which proved either too exclusive or too overlapping.

To avoid all this confusion Veer Savarkar decided to approach the question chiefly from its historical aspect and traced the development of the Hindu Race, religion and polity ever since the Vaidic period

While he was thus fixing and co-ordinating the essential constituents of the conception expressed by the word 'Hindu', Veer Savarkar was arrested in England as a political Revolutionist and subsequently sentenced with two transportations for life, amounting to 50 years of imprisonment

(II) Some years after his transportation to the Andamans and his stay in cellular confinement his thoughts reverted again to the question of the definition He decided to write a brochure on the subject

But where was the paper to come from? or the pen? The possession of a slip of paper even an inch long was sure to get the culprit in hand-culfs for a week. But Veer Savarkar had long before that, found a way out of the difficulty and secured a store of paper as well as pens which lay at his own disposal

It was the white-washed walls of his own solitary cell that served him as a paper. With a small pointed pebble or a thorn he had already developed a masterly skill in scratching and scribbling on the walls undetected by the watchman poems running into hundreds of lines which lay there till he got them committed to memory as convenence would permit. Thus he had already finished the famous poem. 'Kamala'' and some contos of 'Gomantak'. When the yearly white washing of the prison wall was over he could get a fresh supply of papers for the next year. It was on the white-washed walls of his solitary cell in the Andumanees prison

that the first outlines of this book were sketched, chapters and points fixed and the definition versified.

A gifted poet as Savarkarji was he framed the definition into the following fine couplet ...

आसिंधु सिंघु-पर्यन्ता यस्य भारत-भूमिका । पितुभु: पुण्यभूरचैव स वे हिंदुरिति स्मतः॥

It is as melodious and pleasing to the ear as it is convincing in its inexorable logic to the intellect. Its wording is redolent with an appeal to the dearest and holiest traditions of the Hindu race. It has caught up the incomprehensible diversity and dimensions of a people some thirty crores in count in the two magical words 'पित्म' and 'पुज्यम' — the identity of the Fatherland with the Holyland.

This couplet has now come to exercise the authority of a quotation from holy scriptures. Large sections of the Hindu public have actually been inquiring every now and then the name of the Smriti and Puran from which this couplet is quoted. The Hindu Mahasabha and the Hindudom in general from Kashmir to Rameshwar have enthusiastically acknowledged it as the bepossible definition of the Hindu-Nation—'fggqtg.

Apart from the religious aspect involved in the conception of the words 'Hindus' and 'Hindus' Veer Savarkar had to coin some new words such as 'Hindus', 'Hinduses', 'Hindusom' in order to express totality of the cultural, historical, and above all the national aspects along with the religious one, which mark out the Hindu People as a whole. The definition is not consequently meant to be a definition of Hinduses.

Dharma, or Hindu religion It is a definition of ' ছিহুল্ব'
'Hindunees' It is essentially national in its outlook
and comprehends the Hindu People as a Hindu-Rashtra

(III) After having fixed and committed to memory the outlined sketch of his intended work and the versified definition Veer Savarkarii discussed it all every now and then with some of his learned compatriots and co-prisoners in the Andaman jail, in the latter half of his imprisonment when they could sometimes meet together

But then the question arose how to get the definition and the main points of the arguments published in India? Even the boldest optimist could not have dared to believe under those circumstances that Veer Savarkar could ever be released in his life-time and would be able to read in India in print whatever he had scratched and scribbled on the white-washed walls of his cells

The only way therefore to convey a part at least of the luterature he wrote or composed in the Andamans was to get some of his compatriots who were due to be released from time to time, to commit to memory some of his poems and the outlines of his literary works. Thus it was by oral rectals that the definition and she leading arguments were re-transported to India by some of the political prisoners as they got released and reached the Indian shores during 1917 to 1919. Then they arranged to send copies of the gist of this treatise along with the definition to a number of prominent Hindu Sanghastanist gentlemen throughout India.

the late Lala Lajpatrai, Pt. Madan Mohan Malayiya and several others hailed it as the most original and scholarly contribution to the Hindu Idealogy. The definition acted as does some scientific discovery of a new truth in re-shaping and re-co-ordinating all current Thought & Action. Torn, broken up and confined in water-tight compartments, the Hindus themselves had come to doubt if they were a homogeneous people at all. To them the book came as a veritable Revelation For it revealed to them their real National-Self, in which and through which consciously or unconsciously they lived and moved and had their organic Being. At its touch arose an organic order where a chaos of castes and creeds ruled. The definition provided a broad basic foundation on a hed-rock on which a consolidated and mighty Hindu Nation could take a secure stand. It was later on adopted by the Hindu Mahasabha itself as its authoritative definition of Hindutva.

Out of numerous tributes paid to the author of this book we cull and cite only two. Wrote the late Swami Shraddhanandaji:...

"It must have been one of those Vaidic dawns indeed which inspired our Seers with new truths, that revealed to the author of 'Hindutva' this 'Mantra' ...this definition of Hindutva!!"

Shri Vijayaraghavacharyaji, the Grand old man of South India who presided once over the National Congress and once over the Hindu Mahasabha also has only recently read this book He writes thus in his letter dated the 9-4-1941 from Salem --

"I have rapidly run through 'Hindutsa' Especially the last chapter is minimtably patriotic. I am afraid I am unable to find suitable words to describe my ideas regarding the book, especially the last chapter I congratulate the author from the bottom of my heart on the excellence of his work and prayer-fully wish him long life and health."

THANKS

I received some letters and personal requests from scholars, and admirers of Veer Savarkar for copies of 'Hindutva'. I had to reply to them in the negative as copies were not available. I used to feel sorry to say no! I had no capital to publish a new edition and the demand was not so much as to pay the expenses of printing! One day when I was discussing the propagation of Veer Savarkar's ideology with one of his admirers he said he would give Rs, one thousand as a loan to print this book! I took that loan and went to Shri. Bhave, of Bhave (P) Ltd., just to enquire whether he would print the book for me. He said he would not print one thousand copies. He would not undertake such a small order. Such books should be printed and distributed like the Bible. I told him that he had expressed the very feelings that I and many others had in their hearts. But how could I give effect to them? Shri Bhave said he would do it. He is wellknown in the printing world of Maharashtra for his big plans, new ideas and effecient execution and generous nature. Because of his co-operation and determination I have been able to publish this edition! I have no words to thank him!! I must also mention the names of Shri. G. M. Joshi, Shri. S. T. Godbole. Shri. N. C. Athavale and other admirers of Veer Savarkar for writing Introduction, proof correction and other work! Thanks are also due to the worldadmired artist Shri Raghuvir Mulgaonkar for the colourful cover he has donated.

With a request to the readers to spread, propagate and work for the success of 'Hindutva'—

S. S. Savarkar Publisher

Introduction

This is the fifth edition of Veer Savarkar's Hindutiva Much water has flowed under the bridge since the first edition of the book was published in 1923 India has got independence yet she is a weak nation in confrontation with a nuclear power Yet Savarkar's book is for all time. The principles inunciated in this book willguide Hindus as well as non-Hindus of this country for centuries to come. It is interesting to see, how the predictions made in this book have, without a single exception, come true.

Many scholars who had tried to define the terms Hindu and Hinduism, had failed in their attempts to arrive at a satisfactory definition. They studied the beliefs manners and customs, rites and rituals of the different castes and communities that call themselves Hindus and found nothing common in them The defini tions they offered were either too wide or too narrow or suffered from the faults of being negative definitions of merely descriptions Savarkar knew that, though there were differences among the sects of Hindus, yet there was a golden thread that kept them united What was it that bound them together? What were the distinguishing features that made them feel that they were one nation? Savarkar applied his mind to this problem and tried a different approach the historical one. His efforts were richly rewarded With his profound knowledge vedas the mythology, the epics and the history

of India as well as those of other countries he found that the use of the word Hindu is as old as the Rigveda itself, and is associated with the land of the Indus. He gives numerous quotations in this book from the oldest holy books of the Hindus, the Vedas, puranas, medieval poetry, the works of Sikh Gurus, modern history and conclusively proves that the Hindus have always used the term, Hindu, proudly to designate their nation. The concept of Hindutya is Savarkar's own and corresponds exactly to the definition of a nation in modern political theory. The Hindus are tied together by bonds of a common fatherland, ties of blood, a common culture and civilization, common heroes, common history and obove all, the will to remain united as a nation. The London Times rightly styled Savarkar as father of Hinduness.

Savarkar also insists that the term, Hinduism, should include the beliefs of all the sects-both vaidic and non-Vaidic, that call themselves Hindus, This should remove the misgivings of our non-Vaidic brethern. The Hindu Code does not define the term, but gives a description and that too is negative. However, the denotation of the term that it gives is exactly the same as Savarkar's definition.

Savarkar had a way of getting at the essential reality of things. About common blood he says, "After all there is throughout the world so far as man is concerned but a single race-the human race, kept alive by one common blood, the human blood. All other talk is at best provisional, a makeshift, and only relatively

true Nature is constantly trying to overthrow the artificial brirrers you raise between race and race Sexual attraction has proved more powerful than the commands of all the prophets put together"

This was not merely a baffling academic problem to which he tried to find a satisfactory solution, though even from the academic point of view his contribution is invaluable. He knew that India must stand or fall with the fortunes of the Hindus. India is the fatherland and the holy land of Hindus Loyalty and devotion to India would unite. Hindus to achieve freedom and to defend it against foreign aggression.

Loyalty to one's fatherland and loyalty to one's holyland are the two great forces that govern the national life of a country. When a person or a community is torn between these two loyalties their actions are unpredictable.

These are facts which every realist must take into account. To prove his point Savarkar has given numerous instances from world history. It was necessing to make the Hiudus aware of these common bonds to unter them and to fight fissiparous tendencies among them. As a young man Savarkar was the leader of a band of revolutionaries. He was released from the Andaman jail in 1924 and was interned in Ratinagin Owing to restrictions placed upon him he confined his activities to bringing about a social revolution among Hindus. When all restirctions upon him were withdrawn in 1937 Hindus of all sects and creeds rallied round him under the Pan-Hindu flag. He led the movement for the

freedom and integrity of India. When the Atlantic charter was drafted and agreed upon he sent a cable to president Roosevelt insisting that the Atlantic charter should be made applicable to India. It was an act of great diplomacy, for his demand caused a stir in America. Articles appeared in leading papers of that country supporting India's demand for freedom. When the question of giving the right of self-determination to Provinces was being discussed between Mr. Cripps and Indian leaders Savarkar, owing to his profound knowledge of Indian history and his ability to interpret it accurately could bluntly tell Mr. Cripps that it would take him years to understand how Hindusthan-India was one nation. Some Indian leaders, however, had a morbid fear of weapons and the people who legally or illegally used them. They were willing to concede the Muslim League's demand for pakistan. When it was certain that India would be partitioned. Savarkar in retaliation led the movement for the vivisection of Pakistan itself. Lord Mounthatten saw the justic of the demand and forced Mr. Jinnah to agree to part with the Hindu majority parts of Bengal & Punjab. In this way western Bangal and eastern Punjab became parts of the Indian Union, Under Savarkar's leadership Hindus had their revence.

Savarkar is perfectly logical in his arguments. He meets all objections dispassionately and logically. He is able to contince his opponents because his own convictions are the result of deep study and clear thinking. But once a theory is proved beyond doubt his writing becomes emotional like that of a poet. His paragraph

on the blessings that God has showered upon this country of ours reads like a hymn from the Rigseda His appeal to the Hindus is immensely insigring

Swarkar was an idealist without being a vision ary. He foresees the day when cultural and r ligious bigotry will disband its forces pledged to aggressive egoism and relicions will cease to be isms and will become the common fund of eternal principles that he at the root of all that will be a common foundation on which the Human State will majestically and finally rest.

Bombay 28 28 May 1966 (Veer Savarkan's birthday)

G M Joshi

Essentials of Hindutva

What is in a name?

Park near that

We hope that the fair Maid of Verona who ma the impassioned appeal to her lover to change 'a na that was 'nor hand, nor foot, nor arm, nor face; if any other part belonging to a man' would forgive us for this our idolatrous attachment to it when we make bold to assert that, 'Hindus we are and'love to remain's ?! We too would, had we been in the position of that good Friar, have advised her youthful lover to yield to the pleasing pressure of the logic which so fondly urged 'What's in a name? That which we call a rose would smell as sweet by any other name!' For, "thinks" do matter more than their names, especially when you have to choose one only of the two, or when the association between them is either new or simple. The very fact that a thing is indicated by a dozen names in a dozen human tongues disarms the suspicion that there is an invariable connection or natural concomitance between sound and the meaning it conveys. Yet, as the association of the word with the thing it signifies grows stronger and lasts long, so does the channel which connects the two states of consciousness tend to allow ah easy flow of thoughts from one to the other, tall in list it seems almost impossible to separate them And When in addition to this a number of secondary thought be felling thin and

mmedan to call himself a Jew, and you would soon find that the 'open sesame' was not the only word of its type.

Hindutva is different from Hinduism

To this category of names which have been to mankind a subtle source of life and inspiration belongs the word Hindutva, the essential nature and significance of which we have to investgate into. The ideas and ideals, the systems and societies, the thoughts and sentiments which have centered round this name are so varied and rich, so powerful and so subtle, so elusive and yet so vivid that the term Hindutya defies all attempts at analysis Forty centuries, if not more, had been at work to mould it as it is Prophets and poets. lawyers and law-givers, heroes and historians, have thought, lived, fought and died just to have it spelled thus For indeed, is it not the resultant of countless actions-now conflicting, now commingling, now cooperating-of our whole race? Hindutva is not a word but a history. Not only the spiritual or religious history of our people as at times it is mistaken to be by being confounded with the other cognate term Hinduism, but a history in full. Hinduism is only a derivative, a fraction, a part of Hindutya Unless it is made clear what is meant by the latter the first remains unintelligible and vague. Failure to distinguish between these two terms has given rise to much misunderstanding and mutual suspicion between some of those sister communities that have inherited this inestimable and common treasure of our Hindu civilization What is the fundamental difference

only that but even in the very region of the Sapta Sindhus the thinly scattered native tribes too, must have been knowing the Arvans as Hindus in the local dialects in accordance with the same linguistic law. Further on, as the Vedic Sanskrit began to give birth to the Indian Prakrits which became the spoken tongues of the majority of the decendants of these very Sindhus as well as the assimilated and the crossborn castes, these too might have called themselves as Hindus without any influence from the foreign people. For the Sanskrit S changes into H as often in Indian Prakrits as in the non-Indian ones. Therefore, so far as definite records are concerned. it is indisputably clear that the first and almost the cradle name chosen by the patriarchs of our race to designate our nation and our people, is Sapta Sindhu or Hanta Hindu and that almost all nations of the then known world seemed to have known us by this very epithet, Sindhus or Hindus.

Name older still

scene or enter a new country we call them by the very names-may be in a slightly changed form so as to suit our vocal ability or taste-by which they are known to the native people there? Of course, at times we love to call new scenes by names redolent with the memory of the clear old ones - especially when new colonies are being established in a virgin and but thinly populated continent But this explanation could only be satisfactory when it is proved that the name given to the new place aiready existed in the old country and even then it could not be denied that the other process of calling new scenes by the names which they already bear is more universally followed. Now we know it for certain that the region of the Sapta Sindhus was, though very thinly, populated by scattered tribes. Some of them seem to have been friendly towards the newcomers and it is ilmost certain that many an individual had served the Aryans as guides and introduced them to the names and nature of the new scenes to which the Aryans could not be but local strangers The Vidyadharas, Apsaras Yakshas, Rakshas, Gandharvas and Kinn irasis were not all or altogether inimical to the Aryans as at times they are mentioned as being benevolent and good natured folks. Thus it is probable that many names given to these great rivers by the original inhabitints of the soil may have been sanskritised and adopted by the Aryans We have numerous proofs of this nature in the assimilative expansion of those people and their tongues, witness

¹⁵ विद्याधराष्ट्रारायक्षरसामध्यविद्यराः।

8 HINDUTVA

only that but even in the very region of the Sapta Sindhus the thinly scattered native tribes too, must have been knowing the Aryans as Hindus in the local dialects in accordance with the same linguistic law. Further on, as the Vedic Sanskrit began to give birth to the Indian Prakrits which became the spoken tongues of the majority of the decendants of these very Sindhus as well as the assimilated and the crossborn eastes, these too might have called themselves as Hindus without any influence from the foreign people. For the Sanskrit S changes into H as often in Indian Prakrits as in the non-Indian ones. Therefore, so far as definite records are concerned, it is indisputably clear that the first and almost the cradle name chosen by the patriarchs of our race to designate our nation and our people, is Sapta Sindhu or Hanta Hindu and that almost all nations of the then known world seemed to have known us by this very epithet, Sindhus or Hindus,

Name older still

So far we have been treading on solid ground of recorded facts, but now we cannot refrain ourselves from making an occasional excursion into the borderland of conjecture. So far we have not pinned our faith to any theory about the original home of the. Aryans. But if the most widely accepted theory of, their entrance into India be relied on, then a natural curiosity arises as to the origin of the names by which they called the new scenes of their adopted home. Did they coin all those names from their own tongue? Could they have done so? Is it not generally true that when we meet a new

scene or enter a new country we call them by the very names-may be in a slightly changed form so as to suit our vocal ability or taste - by which they are known to the native people there? Of course, at times we love to call new scenes by names redolent with the memory of the clear old ones -especially when new colonies are being established in a virgin and but thinly populated continent But this explanation could only be satisfactory when it is proved that the name given to the new place aiready existed in the old country and even then it could not be denied that the other process of calling new scenes by the names which they already bear is more universally followed. Now we know it for certain that the region of the Sapta Sindhus was, though very thinly, populated by scattered tribes. Some of them seem to have been friendly towards the newcomers and it is almost certain that many an individual had served the Aryans as guides and introduced them to the names and nature of the new scenes to which the Aryans could not be but local strangers. The Vidyadharas, Apsaras Yakshas, Rakshas, Gandharvas and Kinnarasis were not all or altogether inimical to the Aryans as at times they are mentioned as being benevolent and Lood-natured folks. Thus it is probable that many names given to these great rivers by the original inhabitants of the soil may have been sanskritised and adopted by the Aryans We have numerous proofs of this nature in the assimilative expansion of those people and their tongues, witness

¹⁵ विद्याधराष्ट्रायक्षरक्षराधागधविक्षारा ।

the words Shalakantakata,16 Malaya, Milind, Alasada, (Alexandria) Suluva (Selucus) etc. If this be true then it is quite probable that the great Indus was known as Hindu to the original inhabitants of our land and owing to vocal peculiarity of the Arvans it got changed into Sindhu when they adopted it by the operation of the same rule that S is the Sanskritised equivalent of H. Thus Hindu would be the name that this land and the people that inhabited it hore from time so immemorial that even the Vedic name Sindhu is but a later and secondary form of it. If the epithet Sindhu dates its antiquity in the glimmering twilight of history then the word Hindu dates its antiquity from a period so remoter than the first that even mythology fails to penetrate - to trace it to ite source

Hindus, a nation

The activities of so intrepid a people as the Sindhus or Hindus could no longer be kept cooped or cabined wishin the narrow compass of the Panchanad or the Punjab. The vast and fertile plains farther off stood out inviting the efforts of some strong and vigorous race. Tribe after tribe of the Hindus issued forth form the land of their nursery and led by the consciousness of a great mission and their Sacrificial Fire that was the symbol thereof, they soon reclaimed the vast, waste and but very thinly populated lands.

Forests were felled, agriculture flourished, cities rose,

¹⁶ शलकंटकटा, मलय, मिलिन्द, अलसदा, सुलव

kingdoms thrived, - the touch of the human hand changed the whole face of the wild and unkemp nature. But while these great deeds were being achieved the Aryans had developed to suit their individualistic tendencies and the demands of their new environments a policy that was but loosely centralised. As time passed on the distances of their new colonies increased. and different peoples of other highly developed types began to be incorporated into their culture, the different settlements began to lead life politically very much centred in themselves. The new attachments thus formed, though they could not efface the old ones, grew more and more pronounced and powerful until the ancient generalizations and names gave way to the new Some called themselves Kurus, others Kashis or Videhas or Magadhas while the old generic name of the Sindhus or Hindus was first overshadowed and then almost forgotten. Not that the conception of a national and cultural unity vanished, but it assumed other names and other forms, the politically most important of them being the institution of a Chakarvartin 17 At last the great mission which the Sindhus had undertaken of founding a nation and a country, found and reached its geographical limit when the valorous Prince of Ayodhya made a triumphant entry in Ceylon and actually brought the whole land from the Himalayas to the Seas under one sovereign sway. The day when the Horse of Victory returned to Ayodhya unchallenged

¹⁷ चत्रवृतिन

and unchallengeable, the great white Umbrella of Sovereignty was unfurled over that Imperial throne of
Ramchandra, the brave, Ramchandra the good, and a
loving allegiance to him was sworn, not only by the
Princes of Aryan blood but Hanuman, Sugriva, Bibhishana from the south-that day was the real birth-day of
our Hindu people. It was truly our national day: for
Aryans and Anaryans knitting themselves into a people
were born as a nation. It summed up and politically
crowned the efforts of all the generations that preceded
it and it handed down a new and common mission,
a common banner, a common cause which all the
senerations after it had consciously or unconsciously

Other names

fought and died to defend.

their culture-empire far beyond the bending summits of Vindhyadri This necessity of finding a suitable term to express the expansive thought of an Indian Nation was more or less effectively met when the House of Bharat came to exercise its sway over the entire world Without entering into speculation as to who this Bharat was the Vedic Bharat or the Jain one or what was the exact period at which he ruled, it is here enough for us to know that his name had been not only the accepted but the cherished epithet by which the people of Arvawarta and Daxinapatha21 delighted to call their common motherland and their common cultural empire Thus as the horizon opened out to the South we find that the centre of gravity had very naturally shifted from the Sapta Sindhus to the Gangetic Delta and the name Saptasindhu or Aryawart or Daxinapath gave way to the politically grander expression Bharatkhanda22 which included in its sweep all that lay between the Himalayas and the Seas This is most clearly indicated by the definition of our Nation attempted at a period when the vast conception must have been drawning over the minds of our great thinkers. We have met with no better attempt to define our position as a people than the terse little couplet in the Vishu Puran, 'The land which is to the north of the sea and to the south of the Himalaya mountain is named Bharata inhabited by the descendants of are Bharata23

²¹ दक्षिणापय 22 भग्तस्र इ

and unchallengeable, the great white Umbrella of Sovereignty was unfurled over that Imperial throne of Ramchandra, the brave, Ramchandra the good, and a loving allegiance to him was sworn, not only by the Princes of Aryan blood but Hanuman, Sugriva, Bibhishana from the south-that day was the real birth-day of our Hindu people. It was truly our national day: for Aryans and Anaryans knitting themselves into a people were born as a nation. It summed up and politically crowned the efforts of all the generations that preceded it and it handed down a new and common mission, a common banner, a common cause which all the generations after it had consciously or unconsciously fought and died to defend.

Other names

12

A synthetic conception gains in strength if it finds a term comprehensive enough to give it an eloquent expression. The terms Aryawartais or Bramhawartai9 were not so suitable as to express the vast synthesis that embraced the whole continent from the Indus to the sea and aimed to weld it into a nation, Aryawarta as defined by the ancient writers was the land that lay between the Himalayas and the Vindhya. 20 Although it was best suited to the circumstances which gave it birth, yet and therefore, it could not serve as a common name to a people that had welded Aryams and non-Aryams into a common rance and had carried

¹⁸ आर्यावर्त 19 ब्रह्मवर्त

²⁰ आयांवर्त: पुण्यमृमिर्मध्यं विन्ध्यहिमालयोः।

their culture-empire far beyond the bending summits of Vindhyadri This necessity of finding a suitable term to express the expansive thought of an Indian Nation was more or less effectively met when the House of Bharat came to exercise its sway over the entire world Without entering into speculation as to who this Bharas was the Vedic Bharat or the Jain one or what was the exact period at which he ruled, it is here enough for us to know that his name had been not only the accepted but the cherished epithet by which the people of Aryawarta and Daxinapathazi delighted to call their common motherland and their common cultural empire Thus as the horizon opened out to the South we find that the centre of gravity had very naturally shifted from the Sapta Sindhus to the Gangetic Delta and the name Saptasindhu or Arvawart or Daxinapath gave way to the politically grander expression Bharatkhanda22 which included in its sweep all that lay between the Himalayas and the Seas This is most clearly indicated by the definition of our Nation attempted at a period when the vast conception must have been drawning over the minds of our great thinkers We have met with no better attempt to define our position as a people than the terse little couplet in the Vishu Puran, 'The land which is to the north of the sea and to the south of the Himalaya mountain is named Bharata inhabited by the descendants of are Bharata23

'उत्तर यत्समुद्रस्य हिमाद्रेश्चेव दक्षिणम् । वर्षे तद्भारतं नाम भारती यत्र संततिः॥'

(विष्णुपुराण)

How Names Are Given

But this new word Bharatavarsha could not altogether suppress our cradle name Sindhus or Hindus nor could it make us forget the love we hore to that River of rivers - the Sindhy at whose breast our Patriarchs and people had drunk the milk of life. Our frontier provinces which bordered the course of Indus still clung to their ancient name Sindhu Rashtra,24 And throughout the Sanskrit literature we find Sindhu Sauveers25 recognized as an integral and an important part of our body politic. In the great Mahabharata war the king of Sindhu Sauveer figures prominently and is said to have been closely related to the Bharatas, Although the limits of the Sindhu Rashtra shifted from time to time, yet the language that the people speak did then and does even now mark them out as a people by themselves from Multan to the sea, and the name 'Sindhi' which it bears is an emphatic reminder that all those who speak it are Sindhus and are entitled to be recognized as a geographical and political unit in the commonwealth of our Indian people. Although the epithet Bharatakhand succeeded it almost overshadowing the cradle name of our nation in India, yet the foreign nations seem to have cared

²³ भारती 24 सिन्धुराष्ट्र 25 सिन्धुसौबीर

little for it and as our frontier provinces continued to be known by their ancient name, so even our immediate neighbours - the Avestic Persians, the Jews, the Greeks and others clung to our ancient name Sindhus or Hindus They did not merely indicate the borderland of Indus by this term as in days gone by, but the whole nation into which the ancient Sindhus by expansion and assimilation had grown The Avestic Persians know us as Hindus, the Greeks dropping the harsh accent as Indos and through the Greeks almost all Europe and later on America as Hindus or Indians Even Huen-tsang who lived so long with us persists in calling us Shintus26 or Hintus 27 - Barring a few examples as that of Afganisthan being called as Shweta Bharat28 by the Parthians, very rarely indeed had the foreigners forgotten our cradle name or preferred the new one Bharat to it Down to this day the whole world knows us as 'Hindus' and our land as 'Hindusthan' as if in fulfilment of the wishes of our Vedic fathers who were the first to make that choice.

But a name by its nature is determined not so much by what one likes to call oneself but generally by what others like to do In fact a name is called into existence for this very purpose Self is known to itself immutable and without a name or even without a form But when it comes in contact or conflict with a non-self then alone it stands in need of a name if it wants to communicate with others or if others persist in communicating with it. It is a game that requires two to play

²⁶ शितु 27 हितु 28 श्वेत भारत.

at. If the world insists that a teacher or a wit must he handed down as an Ashtawakra29 or a Mulla Dopyaia30 well then he, in spite of his liking, is very likely to be remembered as such. If the name chosen by the world for us is not directly against our liking then it is yet more likely to shadow all other names. We might bear witness Page,31 Mujumdar, Peshawe. But if the world hits upon the word by which they would know us as one redolent of our glory or our early love then that word is certain not only to shadow but to survive every other name we may have. This fact added to the circumstances which brought us first into close contact and then into a fierce conflict with the world at large, soon enabled the epithet Hindu to assert itself once more and so vigorously as to push into the background even the well beloved name of Bharatakhanda itself.

International Life

Although Indians were by no means cut off from the outside world before the rise of Buddhism and although their world activities had already assumed such dimensions as to give a just occasion to our patriotic poet law-givers to claim

> 'एतहेशप्रमूतस्य सकाशादग्रजन्मन:। स्वं स्वं चरित्रं शिक्षेरन् पृथिव्या सर्वमानवाः n (मनु)

[Let all the people of the world learn their duties from the elders born in this land]; yet as far as the present

29 अष्टावक 30 मुल्ला दो प्याजा 31 पागे, मुजुमदार, पेशवे

argument is concerned, the international life of India after the rise of Buddhism requires chiefly to be considered, because it was about this time when political enterprise having exposed or exhausted all possibilities of expansion in our own land naturally began to overflow its limits to an extent unevidenced before and the communications with the outsiders began to knock at our doors more impudently and even imperatively than they ever had done. In addition to these political developments the great and divine mission that set in motion 'the wheel of the law of Righteousness' made India the very heart-the very soul-of almost all the then known world. To countless millions of human souls from Misar to Mexico, the land of the Sindhus came to be the land of their Gods and Godmen Thousands of pilgrims form distant shores poured into this country and thousands of scholars, preachers sages and saints went from this land to all the then known world But as the outside world persisted in recognizing us by our ancient name 'Sindhu' or 'Hindu' both these in-coming and out-going processes helped mightily to render that epithet to be the most prominent of our national names. The necessity of political and diplomatic correspondence with various states, who knew us as Hindus or Indus, must also have, by making it incumbent on our people to respond to it, revived the use of this epithet first side by side with and then at times even instead of the name Bharatkhand

But if the rise of Buddhism has thus enabled this epithet to grow in prominence throughout the world

20

could still be blunted by the soft palm leaves and thymed charms. We do not mean to underrate-much less accuse-the services of the great brotherhood and its divine mission. We have only to point out the concomitance that is too glaring to escape the attention of any student of history. We know that it could easily be pressed against this statement that the greatest and even the most powerful Indian Kings and Emperors known, belong to the Buddhist period. Yes, but known to whom ?-to Europeans and those of us who have unconsciously imbibed not only their thoughts but even their prejudices. There was a time when every school history in India opened from the Mohammedan invasion because the average English writers of that time knew next to nothing of our earlier life. Lately the general knowledge of Europe has extended backwards to the rise of Buddhism and we too are ant to look upon it as the first and even the most glorious epoch of our history. The fact is, it is neither. We yield to none in our love, admiration and respect for the Buddha-the Dharma-the Sangha. They are all ours. Their glories are ours and ours, their failures. Great was Ashoka, the Devapriya, and greater were the achievements of Buddhistic Bhikkus. But achievements as great if not greater and things as holy and more politic and statesmanly had gone before them and indeed enabled them to be what they were. So, we do not think that the political virility or the manly nobility of our race began and ended with the Mauryas alone -or was a consequence of their embracing Buddhism. Buddhism has conquests to claim but they belong to a

and chastised them in their very dens from Tartary to Mongolia were but complements of each other. Valour had accomplished what formulas had failed to Once more the people rose to the heights of greatness that shed its lustre on all departments of life. Poetry and philosophy, art and architecture, agriculture and commerce, thought and action felt the quickening impulse which consciousness of independence strength and victory alone can radiate. The reaction as usual was complete even to a fault. 'Up with the Vedic Dharma!' Back to the Vedas!' The national cry grew louder and louder, more and more imperative, because this was essentially a political necessity.

Buddhism - a universal religion

Buddhism had made the first and yet the greatest attempt to propagate a universal religion, 'Go, ye Bhikkus, to all the ten directions of the world and preach the law of Righteousness! 'Truly, it was a law of Righteousness. It had no ulterior end in view, no lust for land or lucre quickening its steps; but grand though its achievements were it could not eradicate the seeds of animal passions nor of political ambitions nor of individual aggrandisement in the minds of all men to such an extent as to make it safe for India to change her sword for a rosary. Even then, to set an example, did India declare her will to 'take more pleasure in 'the conquest of peace and righteousness than in the conquest of arms. ' Nobly she tried : Ah ! so nobly as to make herself ridiculous in the eyes of lust and lucre. Had she not issued Royal edicts to

the effect that the very water be strained before it was poured out for horses and elephants to drink, so as to enable the tiny lives in the waters to escape immediate death? And had she not opened corn-throwing centres in the midst of the seas that fish be fed in the oceans of the world? Nor had the very fish ceased to feed on each other! Nobly did she try to kill killing by getting killed - and at last found out that palm leaves at times are too fragile for steel ! As long as the whole world was red in tooth and claw and the national and racial distinction so strong as to make men brutal, so long if India had to live at all a life whether spiritual or political according to the right of her soul, she must not lose the strength born of national and racial cohesion So the leaders of thought and action grew sick of repeating the mumbos and jumbos of universal

> ' ये स्वया देव निहुता असुरार्थिव विष्णुता । ते जाता म्लेक्ट्रक्षेण पुनरस्य महीतले ।।१।। व्यापादयन्ति ते विद्यात् च्यात्ति यद्यादिवा विद्या । हृद्गित मुक्तिकत्यास्य पापाः वि कि न कुर्वते ॥२॥ म्लेक्ट्राजान्ते च भूकोरे तिर्वयद्वारमण्ये, यत्त्रपापादि विच्छेदादेवलोकोदमीदित ॥३॥ (गूणादक्ष)

brotherhood and bitterly complained .

(3). If the earth is conquered by the Mlecchas this land of the gods will perish, because of the abolishing of sacrifices and other religious rites. (Gunadhya)

and when the barbarian hordes of the Shakas and the Huns - who had ravaged their fair land that had in utter confidence clad herself in a Bhikku's dress' changed her sword for rosary and had taken to the vows of Ahimsa and nonviolence - were expelled beyond the Indus and further, and a strong national state was firmly established, then it was but natural that the leaders of our race should have realised what an immense amount of strength could be derived if but the new national State was backed up by a Church as intensely national.

Moreover everything that is common in us with our enemies, weakens our power of opposing them. The foe that has nothing in common with us is the foe likely to be most bitterly resisted by us just as a friend that has almost everything in him that we admite and prize in ourselves is likely to be the friend we love most. The necessity of creating a bitter sense of wrong and invoking a power of undying resistance especially in India that had under the opiates of Universalism and non-violence lost the faculty even of resisting sin and crime and aggression, could best be accomplished by cutting off even the semblance of a ommon worship—a common Church which required er to clasp the hand of those as her co-religionists whose had been the very hand that had strangled her

as a nation. What was the use of a universal faith that instead of soothering the ferociousness and brutal egoism of other nations only excited their lust by leaving India defenceless and unsuspecting? No, the only safe-guards in future were valour and strength that could only be born of a national self-consciousness. She had poured her life's blood for sophistry that tried to prove otherwise!

Then came reaction !

The reaction against universal tendencies of Buddhism only grew more insistent and powerful as the attempt to re establish the Buddhist power in India began to assume a more threatening attitude Nationalist tendencies refused to barter with our national independence and accept a foreign conqueror as our overlord But if that foreign invader happened to be favourably inclined towards Buddhism, then he was sure to find some secret sympathisers among the Indian Buddhists all over India, even as Catholic Spain could always find some important section in England to sympathise with their efforts to restore a Catholic dynasty in England Not only this but dark hints abound in our ancient records to show that at times some foreign Buddhistic powers had actually invaded India with an express national and religious aim in view We cannot treat the history of this period exhaustively here but can only point to the half symbolic and half actual description given in one of our Puranas of the war waged on the Aryadeshajas36 by the Nyanapati37 t the king of the Huns) and his

Buddhistic allies. The record tells us in a mythological strain how a big battle was fought on the banks of the river 'Haha,38 how the Buddhistic forces made China the base of ther operations, how they were reinforced by contingents from many Buddhistic nations:

36 अप्यंदेशजा: 37 न्यनपित 38 हहा चीनदेश नुपागम्य यद्धभूमिरकारयन् स्यामदेशीर्भवा लक्षास्त्रवा लक्षाश्च जापका:। दशलक्षाश्चीनदेश्या युद्धाय समुपश्चिता:॥

[There appeared for battle a hundred thousand soldiers from Shymadesh as also from Japdesh, and millions from china.]

and how after a tough fight the Buddhists lost it and paid heavily for their defeat. They had formally to renounce all ulterior national aims against India and give a pledge that they would never again enter India with any political end in view. The Buddhists as individuals had nothing to fear from India, the land of toleration, but they should give up all dreams of endangering the national life of India and her independence.

'सर्वेदच बोढवृन्दैदच तत्रैव दाययं कृतम्। आयंदेशं न यास्याम: कदाचिद्राष्ट्रहेतवे॥ (भविष्यपुराण, प्रतिसर्गयवं)

[All the Buddhists swore there and then that they would not come to the Aryadesh with any territorial designs,] (Bhayishya-Purana Pratisarga-Parya)

Institutions in favour of Nationality

And thus we find that institutions that were the peculiar marks of our nation were revived - The system of four varias³⁹ which could not be wiped away even under the Buddhistic sway, grew in popularity to such an extent that kings and emperors felt it a distinction to be called one who established the system of four varias⁴⁹ मोतायत नाउटेन्त) - वर्णा प्रवासक प्रवासक (मंगवत ताउट) Reaction in favour of this institution grew so strong that our nationality was almost getting identified with it Witness the definition that tries to draw a line of demarcation between us and foreigners

' चातुर्वर्ण्यवस्थान यस्मिन्देश न विदाते । त म्लेच्छदश जानीयादार्यावर्गस्तत परम्॥'

From this it was but a natural step to prohibit our people from visiting shores which were uncongenial-in some cases fiercely hostile—to such peoular institutions as these and where our people could not be expected to receive the protection that would enable them to keep up the spirit and the letter of our faith Reckless as the reaction was, perfectly intelligible when viewed at politically, for do we not frequently meet with patriotic thinkers even now in our land who would stand for laws prohibiting our men from emigrating to nations where they are sure to be subjected to national disabilities and dishonours?

³⁹ वर्णं व्यवस्थापनपर । 40 चातुर्वर्णं

Commingling of Races

Thus it was political and national necessity that was at once the cause and the effect of the decline of Buddhism in India, Buddhism had its geographical centre of gravity nowhere. So it was an imperative need to restore at least the national centre of gravity that India had lost in attempting to get identified with Buddhism. When the nation grew intensely self-conscious as an organism would do and was in direct conflict with the non-self it instinctively turned to draw the line of division and mark well the position it occupied so as to make it clear to themselves where they exactly stood and to the world how they were unmistakably a people by themselves-not only a racial and national, but even a geographical and political unit. On the southern side of our country the natural and strategic limits were already reached, sanctioned and sanctified. The frame-work of the deep and boundless seas in which our southern peninsula is set is almost poetical in its grace and perfection. The Samudrarashana41 had pleased the eyes of generations of our poets and patriots. But on the north-western side of our nation the commingling of races was growing rather too unceremonious to be healthy and our frontiers too shifty to be safe. Therefore it would have been a matter of surprise if the intense spirit of self-assertion that had found so benign an asylum under the patronage of the Mahakal of Ujiain had not

⁴¹ ममुद्ररधना

made our patriots turn to this pressing necessity of drawing a frontier line for us that would be as vivid as effective. And what could that line be but the vivacious yet powerful stream—the River of rivers—the 'Sindhu'? The day on which the patriarchs of our race had crossed that stream they ceased to belong to the people they had definitely left behind and laid the foundation of a new nation—were reborn into a new people that, under the quicting star of a new hope and new a mission, were destined by assimilation and by expansion to grow into a race and a new polity that could only be most fittingly and feelingly described as Sindhu or Hindu

Back to the Vedas

Nor was this attempt to identify our frontier line with the river Indus an innovation. In fact it was but the natural consequence of the great war-cry of the national revivalists 'Brick to the Vedas' The Vedic State based on and backed up by the Vedic Church must be designated by the Vedic name, and—so far as it was then possible—identified with the Vedic lines. And this process of events which the very general trend of history should have enabled us to anticipate seems to have actually gone through For one of patriotic Puranast² assures us that Shalivahan the grandson of the great Vikramaditya after having defeated the second attempt of foreigners to rush in and expelled them beyond the Indus, issued a Royal Decree to the effect that theneforth the Indus should constitute the

line of demarcation between India and other non-Indian nations.

- १ ' एतस्मिन्नन्तरे तत्र शालिवाहनभूपति :। विक्रमादित्य पौत्रश्च पितृराज्यं प्रपेदिरे ॥
- जित्वा शकान् दुराधर्षान् चीनतैत्तिरिदेशजान् ।
 बाल्हिकान् कामरूपाश्च रोमजान् श्रुरजान् शठान् ॥
- ३ तेवा कोशान् गृहीत्वा च दंडयोग्यानकारयत् । स्थापिता तेन मर्यादा म्लेच्छायांणां पृथक् पृथक् ॥
- ४ सिंधुस्थानिति ज्ञेयं राष्ट्रमार्यस्य चोत्तमम् । म्लेच्छस्थान परं सिंधोः कृतं तेन महात्मना ॥'
- There-after the grandson of Vikramaditya, Shalivahan, ascended the throne of his forfathers.
- 2 Having Conquered the irresistible Shakas, the Chinese, the Tartars, the Balhikas, Kamrupas, Romans, Khoraias and Shathas and
- 3 Having seized their treasures and punishing the offenders he demarcated the boundaries of the Aryans and the Mierchas
- 4 The best country of the Aryans is known as Sindhusthan whereas the Mleoch country lies beynod the Indus. This demarcation was made by that great king. (Bhawishya Puran, Pratisarga-Parya)

Sindhusthan

The most ancient of the names of our country of which we have a record is Saptasindhu or Sindhu. Even

Bharatvarsha is and must necessarily be a latter designation besides being personal in its appeal. The glories of a person however magnificent, lose their glamour as time passes on The name that recommends itself by appealing to such personal glories and achievements can never be so effective and permanent a source of everrising consciousness of gratitude and pride as a name that besides being reminiscent of such national achievements and beloved personal touches, is in addition to it associated with some great beneficent and perennial natural phenomena The Emperor Bharat is gone and gone also is many an emperor as great! -but the Sindhu goes on for ever, for ever inspiring and fertilizing our sense of gratitude, vivifying our sense of pride, renovating the ancient memories of our race-a sentinal keeping watch over the destinies of our people. It is the vital spinal cord that connects the remotest past to the remotest future. The name that associates and identifies our nation with a river like that, enlists nature on our side and bases our national life on a foundation. that is, so for as human calculation are concerned, as lasting as eternity All these considerations must have fired the imagination of the then leaders of thought and action and made them restore the ancient Vedic name of our land and nation Sindhustan-the best nation of Aryans.43

The epithet Sindhusthan besides being Vedic had also a curious advantage which could only be called lucky and yet is too substantial to be ignored. The word Sindhu in Sanskrit does not only mean the Indus but also the Sea-which girdles the southern peninsula—so that this one word Sindhu points out almost all frontiers of the land at a single stroke. Even if we do not accept the tradition that the river Bralimaputra44 is only a branch of the Sindhu which falls into flowing streams on the eastern and western slopes of the Himalayas and thus constitutes both our eastern as well as western frontiers, still it is indisputably true that it circumscribes our northern and western calls up the image of our whole Motherland: the land that lies between Sindhu and Sindhu—from the Indus to the Seas.

What is Arya45

But it must not be supposed that the epithet Sindhu recommended itself to our patriots only because it was geographically the best fitted; for we find it emphatically stated that the concept expressed by this word was national and not merely geographical. Sindhusthan was not merely a prece of land but it was a nation¹6 which was ideally if not always actually a state (rajnah-rashtram²7). It also clearly followed that the culture that flourished in Sindhusthan and the citizens thereof were Sindhus even as they had been in the Vedic days. Sindhusthan was the 'Best nation of the Arwas' as distinguished from Micehasthan¹8 the land

⁴⁴ ब्रह्मपुत्रा 45 आयं 46 राष्ट्र

⁴⁷ राज्ञः राष्ट्रम् 48 म्लेच्छस्थान.

of the foreigners. However it must be clearly pointed out that the definition is not based on any theological hair splitting or religious fanaticism. The word Arya is expressly stated in the very verses to mean all those who had been incorporated as parts integral in the nation and people that flourished on this our side of the Indus whether Vaidk⁴⁹ or Avaidik, Bramhana or Chandal, and owning and claiming to have inherited a common culture, common blood, common country and common oplity, while Mlechcha also by the very fact of its being put in opposition to Sindhuthan meant foreigners nationally and recially and not necessarily religiously

Hındu & Hındusthan

This Royal Decree was as all Royal Decrees in Sindhusthan had generally been, the mere executive outcome of a strong and popular movement For, the custom of looking upon Attock of as the veritable Indian land's end as the very word Attock signifies could not have been originated and observed so universally and so long, had it not been inspired by and appealing to our national imagination. This custom that is so tenaciously and reverently observed by millions of people, premiers and peasints alike, is a good proof that strongly corroborates the fact that some such royal edict sanctioning the identification of our frontiers with the ancient Sindhu and associating the name of our land and nation with it as Sindhusthan had actually

been issued; and that the highest religious sanctification consecrating this royal sanction and popular will must have enabled this attempt to restore the Vedic name of our country to triumph in the end. Of course centuries had yet to pass and momentous events to happen to shape and mould the destinies of the words Sindhu and Sindhusthan till they came to be as powerfully influential as to colour the thought of our whole nation and be the cherished possession of our race. But after all they have done it and today we find that while thousands would not know what Aryawarta or Bharatwarsha exactly means yet the very man in the street will understand and recognize the names Hindu and Hindusthan as his very own.*

*The verses from Bhavishyapuran quoted above seem to be quite trustworthy so far as their general purport is concerned: Firstly because they record a general tradition that, unlike dates or individual successions, can easily be remembered longer, Secondly, independently of that, the general trend of our history as shown points to some such state of affairs. Thirdly, it is not necessary here for our arguments to be very precise either about the date of this Decree or even the king by whom it was issued, And fourthly, the author does not seem to have been writing about things only haphazardly or to which he is entirely a stranger. For the family table that he gives of the House of Vikramaditya is again given in other part of the work and the two agree closely with each other. The writer who knows of details about the House is likely to know the

Reverence to Buddha

But before we proceed to state what further developments the history of this epithet had to undergo we feel it incumbent to render an apology to ourselves. We have while writing this section wounded our own feelings. So we hasten to add that the few harsh words we had to say in explaining the political necessity that led to the rejection of Buddhism in India should not be

SALIENT facts of the most distinguished king that belonged to it

After all, the main resources of our history had been and must ever be our national traditions remembered or recorded in our ancient puranas, epics and literature Their details may be challenged, their dates determined and rejected, but on account of discrepancies here or miraculous colouring there which are in fact common to all ancient records of mankind, we cannot dismiss them altogether, especially where the acts recorded have not an impossible or unnatural element in them or when they do not contradict events otherwise proved to be indisputably true. The habit of doubting everything in the Puranas till it has been corroborated by some foreign evidence is absurd The sounder process would be to depend on our works especially where general traditions and events are concerned till they are found to be unreliable in the light of any more weighty and less ambiguous evidence and not simply on account of the airy imaginings of some one to whom it does not seem probable. Take

understood to mean that we have not a very high opinion of that Church as a whole! No, no! I am as humble an admirer and an adorer of that great and holy Sangha the holiest the world has ever seen, as any of its intuated worshipper. We are not initiated not because

the case of this Bhavishyapuran itself; because it contains some inaccuracies and even absurdities-and is Plutarch free from them? Are we to reject the personality of Alexander himself because of the supernatural touches given to the story of his birth? Would it be reasonable to doubt, say the following verse?

चंद्रगुष्तस्य सुतः पीरस्याधिपतेः सुताम् । सुलबस्य तथोद्वाह्य यावनी बीद्धतस्परः ।

[The son of Chandragupta with leanings towards Buddhism then married the yavani daughter of Sulava, Governor of Purus]

In fact we owe a debt of gratitude to these Puranas and Epics for having preserved all ancient and venerable records of our people through revolutions which had effaced the very traces of whole nations and whole civilizations elsewhere in the word For after all, these records of our ancient and partriotic Puranas and Historis (Itihasas) are at any rate more faithful, more accurate and more reliable than the modern up-to-date western puranas that have such convincing discoveries to their credit as the one which assures us that Ramayan sings of the foundation of Vijayanagar or the other which asserts that Gautam the Buddha was merely the Sun or the Dayn personified!

the Sangha is not worthy of us, but because we are not worthy of stepping on the footsteps of the Temple that has lasted longer because it rested on ideas than many a great palace that rested on rocks. consciousness that the first great and the most successful attempt to wean man from the brute inherent in him was conceived, launched and carried on from century to century by a galaxy of great teachers, Arhats and Bhikkus who were born in India, who were bred in India and who owned India as the land of their worship, fills us with feelings too deep for words And if these be our feelings for the Sangha then what shall we say about its great Founder, the Buddha, the Enlightened? I, the humblest of the humble of mankind can dare to approach. Thee, O Tathagat, with no other offering but my utter humility and my utter emptiness! Although I feel that I fail to catch the purport of thy words yet I know that it must be so. Because while thy words are gathered from the lips of Gods, mine ears and my understanding are trained to the accents and the din of this matter-of fict world Perhaps it was too soon for thee to sound thy march and unfurl thy banner while the world was too young and the day but just risen! It fails to keep pace with thee and its sight gets dazzled and dimmed to keep the radiance of the banner in full view. As long as the law of evolution that lays down the iron command

 ^{&#}x27;चलानामचला भक्ष्या दिष्ट्रणामप्यदिष्ट्रणः ।
 अहस्ताना महम्नाञ्च श्राणा चैव भीरव ।। (मन्) —

[Immobile forces are the easy prey of the mobile ones those with no teeth fall a prey to those with deadly fangs; those withou thands succumb to those with hands, and the cowards to the brave.]

is too persistent and dangerously imminent to be catagorically denied by the law of righteousness whose mottos shine brilliantly and beautifully, but as the stars in the heavens do, so long the banner of nationality will refuse to be replaced by that of Universality and yet, that very national banner hallowed as it is by the worship of gods and goddesses of our race, would have been the poorer if it could not have counted the Shakyasinha under its fold. But as it is, thou art ours as truly as Shri Ram or Shri Krishna or Shri Mahavir had been and as the words were but the echoes of yearnings of our national soul, thy visions, the dreams of our race, even so, if ever the law of Righteousness rules triumphant on this our human plane, then thou wilt find that the land that cradled thee, and the people that nursed thee, will have contributed most to bring about that consummation if indeed the fact of having contributed thee has not proved that much already !!

Hindus : all one and a nation

39

parochird and narrow-minded significance which might, as in the case of Aryawarta be attached to this word that the definition of the word Sindhusthan wis rid of any association with a particular institution or party-coloured suggestion For example, Aryawarta was according to an authority—

चातुर्वर्ण्यन्यवस्थान यस्मिन्देशे न विद्यत । त म्लेच्छदश जानीयादार्णवर्तस्ततः परम् ॥

[The land where the system of four Varnas does not exist should be known as the Mlechcha country Aryawarta lies away from it]

This solution, though legitimate could not be lasting An institution is meant for the society, not the society rist ideal for an institution. The system of four varias may disappear when it has served its end or ceases to serve it, but will that make our land a Mlechchadesha—a land of foreigners? The Sanyasis the Aryasamajis the Sikhiqs and many others do not recognize the system of the four castes and yet are they foreigners? God forbid! They are ours by blood, by race, by country, by God. 'Its name is Bharat and the people are Bharati.'52 is a definition ten times better because truer than that. We, Hindus, are all one and a nation, because chiefly of our common blood—
'Bharati Santatisa'

- 51 सन्यासी, आर्यसमाजी, सिख
- 52 त वर्षं भारत नाम भारती यत्र सतति
- 53 भारती मतति

Hindusthani Language

At this period of our history-the rise as well as the fall of Buddhism were accompanied by a remarkable spread and growth of the vernaculars of India and Sanskrit was fast being shut up in the impenetrable fortresses of classical conventionality to such an extent that new ideas and new names had to be sanskritized before they could be incorporated in any acceptable work. Naturally the every day life and the ever changing phases of national and social activities gradually sought expession through the spoken Prakrit which thus grew better fitted to convey the living and throbbing thoughts of the people in all their freshness and vigour and precision. Consequently although the words Sindhu and Sindhusthan are at times found in Sanskrit works, yet the Sanskrit writers generally preferred the word Bharat as being more in consonance with the established canons of elegance. While on the other hand the vernaculars stuck almost exclusively to the more popular and living name of our land Hindusthan (Sindhusthan), instead of the ancient and well-beloved names Bharat or Aryawarta. We need not repeat here how S in Sanskrit gets at times changed into H in India as well as non-Indian Prakrits. So we find the living vernacular literature of India full of reference to Hindusthan or Hindus. Although the Sanskrit language must ever remain the cherished and sacred possession of our race, contributing most powerfully to the fundamental unity of our people and enriching our life, ennobling our aspirations and purifying the fountains of our being, yet the honour of being the living spoken national tongue of our people is already won by that Prakrit, which being one of the eldest daughters of Sanskrit is most fittingly called Hindi or Hindusthani the language of the national and cultural descendants of the ancient Sindhus or Hindus Hindusthani is par excellence the language of Hindusthan or Sindhusthan The attempt to raise Hindi to the pedestal of our national tongue is neither new nor forced. Centuries before the advent of British rule in India we find it recorded in our annals that this was the medium of expression throughout India A sadhu or a merchant starting from Rameshwaram and proceeding to Hardwar, could make himself understood in all parts of India through this tongue Sanskrit might have introduced him to circles of pandits and princes, but Hindusthani was a safe and sure passport to the Rajasabhas as well as to the bazaars A Nanak, a Chaitanya, a Ramdas could and did travel up and down the country as freely as they would have done in their own provinces teaching and preaching in this tongue As the growth and development of this our genuine national tongue was parallel to and almost simultaneous with the revival and popularization of the ancient names Sindhusthan or Sindhus or Hindusthan or Hindus it was but a matter of course that language being the common possession of the whole nation should be called Hindusthani or Hindi

After the expulsion of the Huns and the Shakas the valour of her arms left Sindhusthan in an undisturbed possession of independence for centuries on centuries to come and enabled her once more to be the land where peace and plenty reigned. The blessings of freedom and independence were shared by the princes and peasants alike. The patriotic authors go in rapture over the greatness and the happiness that marked this long chapter of our history extending over nearly a thousand years or so.

ग्रामे ग्रामे स्थितो देव: देशे देशे स्थितो मख:।

गेहे भेहे स्थितं द्रव्यं धर्मश्चैव जने जने ॥ (Every village has its temple; in all districts are

(Every village has its temple; in all districts are sacrifices performed; every family has plenty of wealth; and people are devoted to religion)

From Ceylon to Kashmir the Rajputs—a single family of princes—ruled, often connected closely by marriages and more closely by the tradition of chivalry and culture handed down by a common law. The whole life of the nation was being brought into a harmony as rich as divine, and the growth of the national language was but an outward expression of this inward unity o our national life.

Foreign Invaders

But as it often happens in history this very undisturbed enjoyment of peace and plenty lulled our Sindhusthan, in a sense of false security and bred a habit of living in the land of dreams. At last she was rudely awakened on the day when Mohammad of Gazni crossed the Indus, the frontier line of Sindhusthan and invaded her. That day the conflict of life and death began. Nothing makes Self conscious of itself so much

Sahara whirling and columning up bodily in a furious world storm! Religion is a mighty motive force. So is rapine. But where religion is goaded on by rapine and rapine serves as a handmaid to religion, the propelling force that is generated by these together is only equalled by the profoundity of human misery and devastation they leave behind them in their march. Heaven and hell making a common cause-such were the forces, overwhelminely furious, that took India by surprise the day Mohammad crossed the Indus and invaded her. Day after day, decade after decade, century aftar century, the ghastly conflict continued and India single-handed kept up the fight morally and militarily. The moral victory was won when Akbar came to the throne and Darashukoh was born. The frantic efforts of Aurangzeb to retrieve their fortunes lost in the moral field only hastened the loss of the military fortunes on the battlefield as well. At last Bhau, as if symbolically, hammered the ceiling of the Imperial Seat of the Moghals to pieces. The day of Panipat rose, the Hindus lost the battle, but won the war. Never again had an Afgan dared to penetrate to Delhi. While the triumphant Hindu banner that our Marathas had carried to Attock was taken up by our Sikhs and carried across the Indus to the banks of the Kabul.

Hindutya at work

In this prolonged furious conflict our people became intensely conscious of ourselves as Hindus and were welded into a nation to an extent unknown in our history It must not be forgotten that we have all along referred to the progress of the Hindu movement as a whole and not to that of any particular creed or religious section thereof-of Hindutya54 and nor Hinduism only Sanatanists, Satnamis, Sikhs, Aryas Anaryas, Marathas and Madrasis, Brahmins and Panchamas-all suffered as Hindus and triumphed as Hindus. Both friends and foes contributed equally to enable the words Hindu and Hindusthan to supersede all other designations of our land and our people Aryavarta and Daxinapatha, Jambudweep and Bharatvarsha55 none could give so eloquent an expression to the main political and cultural point at issue as the word, Hindusthan could do All those on this side of the Indus who claimed the land from Sindhu to Sindhu, from the Indus to the seas, as the land of their birth, felt that they were directly mentioned by that one single expression, Hindusthan The enemies hated us as Hindus and the whole family of peoples and races, of sects and creeds that flourished from Attock to Cuttack was suddenly individualised into a single Being We cannot help dropping the remark that no one has up to this time taken the whole field of Hindu activities from A D 1300 to 1800 into survey from this point of view, mastering the details of the various now parallel, now correlated movements from Kashmir to Ceylon and from Sindh to Bengal and yet rising higher above them all to visualise the whole scene in its proportion as an integral whole For it was the one great issue to defend the

⁵⁴ हिंदुत्व 55 आर्यावनं, दक्षिणापय, जबुद्वीप, भाग्तवर्ष

honour and independence of Hindusthan and maintain the cultural unity and civic life of Hindusta and not Hinduism alone, but Hinduiva.—i. e. Hindudharma that was being fought out on the hundred fields of battle as well as on the floor of the chambers of diplomacy. This one word, Hinduva, ran like a vital spinal cord through our whole body politic and made the Nayars of Malabar weep over the sufferings of the Brahmins of Kashmir. Our bards bewailed the fall of Hindus, our seers roused the feelings of Hindus, our heroes fought the battles of Hindus, our saints blessed the efforts of Hindus, our statesmen moulded the fate of Hindus, our mothers weep over the wounds and gloried over the triumphs of Hindus.

It would require a volume if we were to substantiate these remark, by quoting all the words and writings of our forefathers that bear on the point. But the argument in hand does not allow us to be drawn saide even by so alluring a task as that. Consequently we must content ourselves with quoting a few eloquent lines either from the lips or the pen of some of the foremost representatives of our Hindu race.

Of all the works written in the Hindi language, old and new, the great epic Prithviraj Raso56 by Chand Bardai is, so far as present researches go, admittedly the most ancient and authoritative one. There is only one solitary verse which claims to be an earlier composition. But luckily and strangely enough

⁵⁶ पृथ्वीराजरासो

this very first composition in our northern vernacular literature refers to the word Hindusthan, in terms full of pride and patriotic fervour. The poet, Ven, father of Chand Baradai addresses the Raja of Ajmer, the father of Prithyiraj—

अटल ठाट महिपाट, अटल तारागढपान अटल नम्म अजमेर, अटल हिंदब अस्थान अटल तेज परताप, अटल लकागढ ब्रेडिय अटल आप चहुबान, अटल भूमिजस महिप समरी भूप सोमेस नृप, अटल छन ओप सुसर कविराव वेन आसीस दे. अटल जागा एजसकर

Chand Baradai who may justly be called the first poet of Hindi literature, uses the words Hindi, Hindawan, Hind so often and so naturally as to leave no doubt of their being quite common and accepted terms as far back as the eleventh century, when the Mohammedans had not secured any permanent footing even in Punjab and therefore could not have influenced the independent and proud Rajputs to adopt a degrading nickname invented by their foes and make it their national and proud appellation. Describing how Shahabuddin taken prisoner by the Hindus, was let go by the noble Prithviraj on condition that he would not again attack the 'Hindus' Chand says—

'रालि पचिंदन साहि अदब आहर बहु किनी सूज हुसेन गाजी सुपुत हच्ये प्रहि दिश्री किस सलाम तिनबार जाह अपने मुगगनह मित हिंदुपर साहि सचित्र आजी स्वस्पानह' (पच्चीराज रात्ती स. ६)

But Shahabuddin was not a man to be won over by Hindu chivalry. Again and again he sallies forth and a fierce fight ensues to the boundless joy of that divine cynic Narada :-

' जब हिंददल जोर हुअ छट्टि मीरघर भ्रम असमय अरवस्तान चला करन उदसाकम।' 'जरे हिंदू मोरं बहे खग्ग तारं and again मखे भारमारं वहे सरसारं

हिंद म्लेच्छ अधाइ घाईन till at last

नचि नारद यद्ध चायन !!'

But in spite of his efforts to crush the Hindus Shahabuddin lost the day and the triumphant news sent Delhi mad with joy that Paijunrai had once more taken Shahabuddin a prisoner. The populace greeted their king Prithviraj :-

> 'आज भाग चहुआन घर। आज भाग हिंदवान ।। टन जीवित दिल्लीइवर । गंज न सबके आन ॥ '

Further pledges solemnly entered by the man who had broken his former pledges as solemnly given, succeeded in securing the release of the Shah once more and once more, but now for the last time, did he invade Hindusthan and by a fell swoop was almost at the gate of Delhi. The council of war is summoned by the Hindapati Prithviraj, insolent challenge is sent by Shahabuddin, the Rawals and Samantas are aflame,

49

when Chamundrai tells the Mohammedan messenger to remind Shah of the dust he had heked and adds —

' निर्लंज्ज म्लेच्छ सर्ज नहीं । हम हिंदु सजवान् ॥ '

The fatal dry drew near and both the sides knew it was a despirate game Chandbaradai almost on the eve of the defection of Hameer, approaches the Goddess Durga and opens his prayer so pathetic and so partitiotic this.—

'द्वृगो हिंदुराजान बदीन आय जर्प जाप जारुधर दू सहाय नमस्ते नमस्त इ जालघरानी सुर आसुर नागपूजा प्रमानी

After having narrated the fateful results of the battle and the consequent plot that enabled Shahabuddin to strike Prithviraj dead, the poem ends with paying a last touching tribute to the fallen Hindu Emperor—

'घिन हिंदु प्रथिराज, जिन रजवट उजारिय घिन हिंदु प्रथिराज, बोल बलिमहस उगारिय घिन हिंदु प्रथिराज, जेन सुविहानह सध्यो वारबारह प्रहिमुबिक, अतकाल सर बध्यो '

It is remarkable that although the word Bharat appears often in the Raso in the sense of Mahabharat, yet it seldom if ever, is used in the sense of Bhiratiarsha What we find in this earliest of our northern vernicular composition holds good in the latter development of our vernacular literature down to the day of the great Hindu revival and the war of Hindu liberation Ramadas, the high priest and prophet of that movement.

in one of his mystical and prophetic utterances sings of the vision he has seen and triumphantly but thankfully asserts that much of what he has seen in his vision has already come to be true—

* म्यप्ती जें देखिलें रात्री ते ते तैसेचि होतमे हिंडतां फिरता गेंग्रों, आनदवनभवनी ॥ १ बहाल सबंही पानी, हिंदस्यान बळावल अभवतांचा धायो झाला, आनंदवनभवनी ॥२ कल्पांत मांडिला मोठा, म्लेब्छदैत्य बुडावया कैपश घेतला देवी, आनदवनमवनी ॥ ३ येथन बाढला धर्म, राजधर्माममागर्मे संतोष मांडिला मोठा, आनंदवनभूवनी ॥ ४ बङाला औरंग्या पापी, म्लेक्टसंहार जाहला मोडिली माडिली छन्नें, जानंदवनभवनी ॥ ५ बोलणे बाउने होतें, चालणे पाहिले बरें पर्दे घडेल तें यरें, आनंदबनभवनीं॥ ६ उदंड जाहले पाणी, स्नानसंध्या करावया जपतप अनुष्ठानें, आनंदबनभवनी ॥ ७ स्मरलें लिहिलें आहे, बोलता चालता हरी राम कर्ना, राम भोवना, आनंदवनभूवनी ॥ ८

Bhushana, the Hindu poet who was one of the most prominent of our national bards that went up and down the country and roused 'Hindawan' to action and achievement in those days of the war of Hindu liberation, challensed Aurangzeb—

^{*} In utter darkness I dreamt: behold, the dreams are realised. Hindusthan is up, has come by her own,

1 'लाज घरी विवजी से लरी गव मैंबर सेख पठान पठायके। भूषण ह्या गइनोटन हारे उहा तुग बना मठ तोरे रिमायने। हिंदुन ने पित मो न विसात सहावत हिंदु गरीवन पायने। लीजै नलन न दिल्ली ने वालम आलम आसमीर नहायके॥ Again at another place Bhooshan says ---

' जगत मैं जीते महावीर महाराजन ते महाराज यावन हू पातसाह लेखान । पातमाह यावनी दिल्ली में पानसाह दिल्लीपति पातसाह जीसो हिंदपति सेवान '

and those that hated her and sinned against God are put down with a strong hand! Verily it is a holy land and happy! For, God his made her cause his own and Aurangzeb is down! The dethroned are enthroned and the enthroned is dethroned. Actions speak better than words! Verily Hindusthan is a holy land and happy! Now that Dharma is backed up by Rajadharma, Right by might, the waters of Hind, no longer defiled, can enable us once more to perform our ablutions and austerities Let come what may Rama has made this land holy and happy!

1 'Thou art so busy in winning casy victories the poor Hindu frars and beggars there. Why dust thou fight so shy to face the Hindpath himself? Thou hast lost fort after fort in the fair field here that is perhaps why thou art distinguishing thyself by pulling down unoffending convents, churches and chapels there! Art thou not ashamed to call thyself Alamgir, conqueror of the world, when thyself standest vanquished by the Hindu Emperor Shivaji?

'दादी के रखंयन की दाडोमी? रहित छाति बाढी जल मर्माद हुई हिंदुवाने की किंद्र शायि यित के मन की नसक मिट गयी ठसक तमान सुरकानेकी भूगण भनत दिल्छीपति दिल धकपका मुनिसुनि धाके भिवराज भरदानेकी मोठो मर्यि चंड्री बिन चांटीके चवाय सीस बोठी भिय संपति चकतालेड़ धराने की ॥'

Speaking of things that Shivaji achieved Bhooshan says:—

राखी हिंदुनानी, हिंदुनान के तिलक राह्यो, स्मृति और पुराण राख्यो वेद विधि सुनि में राखी राजवानी राखी राजनकी, परामें परम राख्यो राज्योंनी राखी राजनकी, परामें परम राख्यों राखी गुण गुणोमें भूगण गुकविजीति हह मरहुट्टनकी, देसदेस कीरति बखानी तब सुनि में साहिक मुद्रात सिवराज समसेर तेरी, दिल्लीवल दाखिक दिवाल र राखि दुनियं।

It was in this light that the achievements of Shivaji and his compatriots were viewed by his race throughout Hindusthan. Bhushan though not a Maratha felt as proud of the victorious march of the Maratha warriors from Shivaji to Bajirao (Vide Bhushan Granthavali) as they themselves did. He was Hindu of Hindus and till the last day of his life he kept on singing his stirring songs, emphasizing the national and

^{2.} जलीमी 3. बाबर के घरानेकी 4. देवालय

pan-Hindu aspect of the movement and impressing it on the minds of its great leaders. Amongst these Chhatrasal, the brave Bundela king, was his second favourite.—

'हवर' हरटू^न साजि, गैवर³ गरटु⁸ ममपैदर घट्ट फीज तुरकान की भूषण भनत रायचपतिवां छत्रसाल रोप्यो रनस्याल स्त्रैवे डाल हिंदवाने की '

Nor was this tribute paid to Chhatrasal undeservedly Chhatrasal was truly like Shivaji, Rajsinha, Guru Govindsinha, the 'Dhala Hindavaneki.' He Looked upon himself as the champion of 'Hindutva'. Says Chhatrasal:-

'हिंदू तुरक दीन है गाये। तिनसी वैर सदा चिल आये।।
लेख्यी मुर अमुरन को जैनी। केहरि करिन बखानो तैसो।।
जबते शहा तक्शपर बठे। तब तै हिंदुन सौ अर दाठे।
सहमेंकर तीरपनि लगाये। वेद देवाले निदर इहाये।।
सब रजपूत सीर मित नावे। औड करे निदर वेहाये।।
औड एक विवराज निवाही। वर्र आपके चित्रकि चाही।।
आठ पातसाही सुक होरे। सूबिन बाधि डाड कै छोरे॥'

After his historical visit paid by Chhatrasal to Shivaji the great Bundela leader, greatly encouraged by the latter

'तुम छत्री सिरताज। जीत आपनी भूमिकी करी देशको राज॥' met Sujansınha who was a powerful Rajput chief in Bundelkhand. In the conversation that followed Sujan

¹ अश्व 2. ह्प्टवुष्ट 3 गजवर 4. सघ

sinha draws a moving picture of the political situation of the country-

'पातसाह लागे करन, हिंदुपमं योनासु मुधि करि चंपतराय की, लिंज बुदेला सासु जब तै वंपति करयो पयानो, तबतै परयो होन हिंदबानो, लागो होना सुरक्तको जोरा, को राखे हिंदुन को तोरा अब जो सुम कटि कसी ष्टुपानो, ती फिर चडे हिंदुमुख पानो

Sujansinha, the old Raja, saying thus offered his sword and heart to Chhatrasal and blessed him and his mission —

'गह कही प्रिति हिसे बूमगाओ। दिवे पान किरवान वयाओ दोंअ हाव मावपर राखे। पूरन करो काज अभिकाखे हिंदुपरम अग जांअ चलावो। दौरि दिलावल हक्ता हुलावो' (छत्रप्रकाख)।

Tegbahadur, the Great Guru, who not only championed the cause of this war of Hindu liberation in Punjab but laid down his life for it, is reported to have advised the Brahmins of Kashmir, who oppressed and threatened with 'Islam or death' solicited his help—

तुम सुनो दिजेसु ढिग तुकेसु अर्वेसु इमगावो अिक पीर हमारा हिंदु भारा भाऔचारा लख पायो

^{1.} উদস্যকায় the historical works that describe the events of উপনাল's reign, was composed under his direct orders by ভালকৰি.

है तैगवहादूर जगत अजागर ता आगर तुर्क करो तिस पाछे तब ही फिर सबही वन है तुरक भरा

(पयप्रकाश)

And when he was challenged by the foes of the race and religion he boldly answered —

'तिन ते सुन थी तेमबहादूर। धम निवाहन विये बहादूर अुत्तर भनवा धर्म हम हिंदु। आंत प्रियका किमकरे निकड वे' (सर्वप्रकाश)

His illustrious son Guru Govindenha, at once the poet, prophet and warrior of our Hindu race and our Hindu culture, exclaims in a moment of inspiration —

'सकल जगतमे खालसा पय गाज जगे धर्म हिंदु सकल भड भाज ॥'१ (विचित्र नाटक by गरु गोविंदसिंह)

- 2. Oh Brahmins! Listen, You go and tell the The Mohammedans) without fear 'there is a great Hindu leader of ours with laces of followers His name is Teg Bahadur, Uplifter and awakener of mankind, First make him embrace Islam and then we will all do the same '
- 3 'Hearing them, Guru Teg Bahadur, the hero, the champion of धर्म, made reply 'How can I disgrace the Hindu Dharma, so dear to my heart'
- 1 'May this Khalsa Panth flourish every where (so that) long may Hindu Dharma live and all falsehood vanish'!!

The chronicler of Shivaji in the old work 'रिवच्छपतीचे परित्र 'says 'रिवचांचेचे मनोत बाले, जे आएण हिंदु, सर्व दिसाचेदा सकामी पादाकांत केला. क्षेत्रास पीडा केली. हिंदुपर्म बढविला. प्राणही देवन धर्म रक्षू, आपले पराक्रमें नवीन दोलत संपाद ते कर मध्य.'

But the shrewd and trusted Dadaji advised:-

'आपणजें म्हणतो तें कार्य चांगलें खरें, पण याचा सेवट लागणें परम दुष्कर, यास मातवर स्पेले लहावी, हिंदु राजें व हिंदु लोजा, जागजागी साह्यकर्या जसास्या, औरवराचें आनुकृत्य च सिद्ध पुरुषांचा आसीवींट असती असा गोंप्टी पटतील.'

(चिट्रणीस-बखर)

And yet Dadaji was the guiding hand of the whole movement. The youthful Shivaji writes in 1646 A. D.

- 2. Shivaji thought to himself We are Hindus. The Mohammedans have subjugated the entire Deccan. They have defiled our sacred places! In fact they have desocrated our religion. We will, therefore, protect our religion and for that we would even lose our lives. We will acquire new kingdoms by our power and that bread we will eat.
- bread we will eat.

 3. 'Your plans are certainly very good; but it would be exceedingly difficult to carry them to a finish. In the first place you are to establish powerful centres. Hindu kings and Hindu armies must afford assistance from place to place. Again God Almighty must be on our side and we must be blessed with the benediction of consummate saints. And then these things are possible.'

to one of his young compatriots — 'सहास' नुस्ही जापकी बेमानगिरी करीत नाही. आदि कुलदेव स्वयभू. त्यानी आम्हाम यम दिले व पुढें ता मनोरय हिंदबी स्वराज्य करून पुरिनेणार आह ह राज्य ब्हावे हे श्रीचे मनान फार आहे'

Mr Rajvade has the original copy of this letter which reveals, as it were, the soul of the great Hindu movement in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries It was no parochial movement—it was Hindau Swarajja the Hindu Empire—that was the great ideal which had fired the imagination and goaded the actions of Shivaji while he was but in his teens. We have his own word for it.

But when Jaysingh—a Rajput prince—came to subdue Shivaji and his movement, the edge of Shivaji s power of resistance became very naturally blunted it was disheartening in the extreme to find the Rajputs—the ancient shield of Hindutva—shedding their blood and the blood of their co-religionists and brother Hindus that the Mohammedans might win 'Says Shivaji to Javsineh—

^{1 &#}x27;You would not be faithless to the emperor. Our primordial family God is self existing (and therefore all powerful) He has given success to our efforts so long and in future also will fulfil the object of my life by bringing about the establishment of हिंदबी स्थापन (Hindu independence) Indeed it is the cherished wish of God that such a kingdom should be established.

होतांच जाट वगैरे फौज दिल्लीवरी पाठवून सवाजीओ आपण दिल्लीस जाणार.' १

(Dhondo Govind's letters to Bajirao)
But as Vasai was still holding out Bajirao could
not go in time. He was chafing under his inabilites. He
writes:—

'हिंदुलोकास संकट थोर प्राप्त झालें आहे. अद्याप वसओ आणी नाही. अैदास तमाम मराठी फौजा अेक होअन चमेलीपार व्हविं त्यास (नादिरास) अलीकडे येअं देअं नये असा विचार आहे. १

(बाजीरावाचे ब्रह्मेंद्रस्वामीस पत्र)

1. Tahmaspkulikhan (Nadirshah) is not a divine being so as to be able to destroy the whole creation. He is bound to come to terms with those that prove strong, Therefore Your Excellency (Bajirao) should come with a strong force. Peace can come only after a war. We can expect a decisive result if Your Excellency and the entire Rajput chiefs combine now. We must join together all the Hindus including Bundele and such others and we must present a more than brilliant front. Nadirshah does not intend to go back. He will directly march on the Hindu kingdoms Savai Jaysing wants that Ranaji (of Udepur) should be installed on the Imperial throne. The Hindu Kines including Savai are looking for the arrival of Your Excellency. In fact as soon as Your Excellency can give a strong backing Savaiji will send forces against Delhi and will also himself march.' (Dhondo Govind's letters to Bajirao)

But his indomitable spirit rose triumphant over all obstacles. He writes again —

'आपको चरगुती भाडणे (रघुजीचे पारिपत्य वर्गर) बाजूना ठवकी पाहिजेत आता नवें हिंदुम्बानास केक शत्र श्रुप्तज जाला आह मी तर नमेदा अतरन सर्व मराठी संग्य चढकप्रवेत पसरन देणार मग पाह या नारिरखहा बसा खाळी येता ता ''र

(Bajirao's letter)

Sawai Jaysinha was as intensely proud of his Hindutva as any one else of the great leaders of the Hindu movement It was he who directed the people—the oppressed Hindus—in Malva to request Bajirao to extend the war of Hindu liberation to Malva and thus to take a further important step towards the realization of the mission of the generation of the followers of the Shivaji cult all over India—the mission of Hindupadpadshahi In one of his letters the enlightened and patriotic Rajput prince writes —

circumstances all the Maratha armies should combine and cross the river Chambal. The plan is that he (Nadirshah) should not be allowed to proceed further. (Baurao to Brahmendra Swami)

2 We must lay aside our internal differences (such as punishment of Raghoji and others) The whole of Hindusthan has now one common enemy to encounter As for myself I have decided to cross the Narbada and spread the Maratha armies as far as Chambal and we shall see how Nadirshah proceeds southward (Bajiro's letter)

' सिद्धयो नदलालजी प्रधान व माजिजी ठाकूर, संस्थान जिदोर, अमरगदमु महाराजाधिराज श्री सवाई ज्यानहरी कृत प्रमाण बचजोसो आपको लिखते हैं कि बादनहाने चढाओं की है, तो कुछ चिंता नहीं. श्री परमारमा पार लगावेगा। बाजीराज पेगंज में हमने आपके निम्मबत कोलवचन कर लिया है।'

Again he writes :— 'हजार जावास है आप सब मालवे सरदार एक रहके हिंदुधमंका कत्याण होना। और मालवेमें हिंदुधमं की वृद्धि होना अिम बात विचार कर मालवेमें में मुमलमानों को नोमेद किये, और हिंदधमं कायम रखा।

(Jaysingh's letters 26-10-1721 A. D.)

Nanasaheb the son of Bajirao was in fact the greatest leader of men that the great movement of Hindu liberation and Hindupadpadshahi brought to the front. His correspondence is a study by itself.

1. May you get success and wealth! ...Respectful greetings to Nandlalji Pradhan and Bhaiji Thakur. Sansthan Indore, from Maharajadhiraj Jaising, camp Amargad. You are informed that the Emperor has started operations. But you need not be anxious. God Almighty will bring the matters to a successful issue. We have secured from Bajirao Peshve solemn promises concerning you.

Oh splendid! Really creditable. It is meet and proper that you and the other chiefs of Malva unite and bring about the prosperity and growth of the Hindu religion. It was with this object that the Musalmans were descouraged from Malva and the Hindu religion was preserved intact.

Wherever we find him, we find him the champion of Hindutva To Tarabai he writes :— 'मोगल देवल हिंदु-राज्याचे रातृ त्यासरक्षील अनुमधाने होत असता सेवकच बाकडे बतंतात हा दाप !'- (Nanasaheb's letters)

Though much was lost on the field of Panipat, yet all was not lost. For two men survived the battle and saved the cause Nana Farnavis and Mahadaji Shinde-the brain, the sword, the shield of the Hindu Power-thought and worked and fought for 40 years or so-in spite of the disastrous defeat at Panipat or rather in virtue of it-for that defeat was the greatest that the victors had ever received and succeeded in making the Hindus the de facto Rulers of Hindusthan. How conscious the national mind had grown of the triumphant turn events had taken and how intensely proud had they been of Hindutya and the Hindu Empire all but established can best be seen in the letters of the most talented diplomatic writers of that period Govindrao Kale writes to Nana Fadnavis from the capital of the Nizam on learning the news that gladdened the Marathas from end to end of Maharashtra that the musunderstanding growing between the two men Nana and Mahadaji had disappeared -

(Nanasaheb's letters)

² The Moghul (Nizam) is an inveterate enemy of the Hindu power, and jet, while you are jourself carrying on negotiations with them you accuse (me) your humble servant of crooked ways!

- १. 'पत्र पाहताच रोमांच बुभै राहिले. अति संतोप झाला. विस्तार पत्री किती लिहू ? प्रयंचे प्रय मनात आले. अटक नदीचे अलीनके दिला मुद्रायांचेतों हिंग्नुचे स्थान—नुरक्स्यान नरहे. हे आपली सीमा पाडवापासून विकासीत्रतायंचेता खांनी राख्न अधुभीग घेतला. त्यामार्गे राज्यकर्ते नादान निघाले. प्रवनाचें प्रावत्य झालें, चक्ताना (वाचरच्या बंजजानी) हरितामुद्रचे राज्य चेतलें. दोवटी आलमिराचे कार्यकर्तित वजीपवीतास साढेतीन रुपये जेजया बसून ओले अस्र विकत च्यांचे अबी नीचत गुजरली.
- त्या दिवसांत कैलासवासी शिवाणी महाराज शककतें व पर्मरासते निपाले, त्यांनी किंचित् कोन्यात धर्मरक्षण केले. पुढें कैलासवासी नानासाहेब व भाऊसाहेब् प्रचंड प्रतापसूर्य असे झाले की, असे कपी झालें नाही. हल्ली औमंताचे पुण्यत्रापंकरून व राजेथी पाशिल्युवाच्या बुद्धि व तरवारीच्या पराक्रमंकरून सर्व परास आले, परंतु झाले कसे? प्राप्त झाले वेगेकरून सुळभता बाटली. अगर मुसलमान कोणी असते तर मोठे मोठे तवारिखनामे झाले असते.
- 1. When I read your letter I was simply thrilled with joy. Indeed I felt mightily happy I cannot express all that fully in a letter. Literally my mind was flooded with thoughts. All the territory from the river Attock to the Indian Ocean is the land of Hindus and not of the Turks. These have been our frontiers from the times of Pandavas down to those of Vikramaditya. They preserved and enjoyed it. After them the rulers turned out to be quite effete and the Yavanas (Mohammedans) rose in power. The Moghuls seized the Kingdom of Hastinapur. And eventually during the regime of Alamgir we were reduced to such straits that the wearer

यवनाच्या जातीत इतको गोष्ट चाग्ली झात्यास गगनाबरोबर करून सोमवावी. आमचे हिंदुत गगनाइतको झालो असता उच्चार न करावा है चाल आहे अरुम गोष्टी घडत्या यवनाच्या मनात नी काफरसाही झालो असे बोकतात.

(इ.स.१७९३).

of every Yandyopavita (the sacred thread) was required to pay a jijeya tax of Rs 3-8 and to buy cooked food

At such a juncture was born Shivaji Maharaj, the founder of the era and the protector of the religion However his mission was confined to a limited area. Then came Nanisaheb and Bhausaheb of respected memory Heroes of such pre-eminent prowess that the like of them have not been born And now everything has been restored to us under the benign and illustrious auspices of Shirimant (Peshve) owing to the astuteness and valour of Patil Boa But how was all this achieved! Because we had won we thought it had been an easy

This one single letter penned with such ease and grace gives a truer expression to the spirit of our history than many a dull volume had done. How spontaneously it hits on the right derivation of the epithets Hindu and Hindusthan and how completely our ancestors down to the last generation loved and reverenced and identified themselves with these epithets is so eloquently illustrated in this letter as to render it superfluous to cite any more.

Stupid notions must go

Having thus tried to trace the successive chapters of the history of the words Hindu and Hindusthan from the earliest Yedic period to the fall of the last of our Hindu empire in 1818 A. D., we are now in a position to address ourselves to the main task of determining the essentials of Hindutva The first result of our enquiry is to explode the baseless suspicion which has

matter. If it had been the case of Mehamedans, volumes of histories would have been written about it. Amongst the Mohammedans even the smallest matter is extelled by them to the skies. While amongst us Hindus we are inclined not even to refer to our exploits however magnificent they may be. Indeed results difficult to achieve have been achieved. The Mohammedans think and say that the accursed Hindus have established their supermacy!

And really Patil Boa has broken the heads of those who tried to raise them. In fact the unachievable has been achieved. To establish order and reap its benefit

of our race? Surely our 'people were not quite such strangers either to the Arabic or Persian tongues! The Mohammedans were apt to refer to us as Kafar also but had our people adopted that name and stuck to it, as a distinguishing mark? Why did they submit voluntarily to the national insult only in the case of the other epithets Hindusthan and Hindu? Simply because, they knew more of our national traditions and were less cut off from our national life than some of us had been. That is why some of us keep constantly harping on the fact that this word Hindu is not found in Sanskrit. What of this word alone? - The Sanskrit literature makes no mention of Kishan-Banaras-Maratha-Sikh Guiarat-Patna-Sia-Jamuna and a thousand other words that we use daily. But are they to be traced to some foreign source? The word Banaras though not found in Sanskrit is still ours because it is the Prakrit form of Varanasi which is found in Sanskrit. In fact it is ridiculous to expect a Prakrit word in classical Sanskrit. Nav more: although Hindu being a Prakrit form of a Sanskrit word, should not be expected to be found in Sanskrit, yet as it is it cannot be but a weighty proof of its importance even in its Prakrit form that, that form should be at times met with in Sanskrit literature: for example, the Bherutantra uses this word. Hindu. Great Sanskrit lexicographers like Apte in Maharashtra and Taranath Tarkavachaspati Bengal have also mentioned it. While the line 'Shiyashiya na Hindur na Yayanah57' is too well known to be quoted.

57 शिवशिव न हिन्दुनं यवनः।

It may be that in the modern Mohammedanized Persian some contemptuous meaning has come to be associated with the term Hindu but how does that show that the original signification of Hindu was contemptuous and meant 'black'? The words Hindu or Hind are used in Persian but they do not mean black and yet we know that they along with Hindu are originated from the same Sanskrit word Sindhu or Sindh If the word Hindu is applied to us because it means 'black then is it that Hind and Hindi are also applied to us though they do not mean 'a black man', The fact is that the word Hindu dates its origin not from the Mohammedanized Persian but from the ancient language of Iran, the Zend, and then the Saptasindhu meant Saptasındhu alone It could not have been applied to us because we were black literally, for the simple reason that the ancient Saptasindhu i e Hindus in Avestic period were as fair as the Iranians and lived practically side by side and even at times together with them. Even so late as the dawn of the. Christian era the Parthians used to call our frontier province as Shvetabharat or White India Thus originally Hindu simply could not have literally meant a black man

In fact, after it his been made so amply clear in the foregoing sections that the epithets Hindu and Hindusthan had been the proud and patriotic designations signifying our land and our nation long before the Mohammedanis or Mohammedanized Persians were heard of it becomes almost immaterial so far as the greatness of epithet Hindu and its claim to our love are concerned, what meaning, complimentary or contem-

ptuous, is attached to it by some swollen-headed fanatic here and there. There was a time when the term 'England' had fallen so low in England itself in the estimation of her Norman conquerors that it became a formula of swearing against each other! 'May I become an Englishman!' was the strongest form of self-denunciation and calling a Norman 'an Englishman' an unpardonable insult. But did the English care to change the name of their land or their nation and call it Normandy instead of England? Or would their disowning their name 'the English' have made them great? No; on the contrary, precisely because they did not disown their ancient blood or name, to-day we find that while the word Norman has become an historical fossil and Normandy has no place on the map of the world, the contemptuous English and their English language have come to own the largest empire the world has yet seen! And yet great as the glories of the English world are, what on the whole, has it to show to match the glories of the Hinda world?

In times of conflict nations do lose their balance of mind and if the Persians or others once understood by the word Hindu a thief or a black man alone then let them remember that the word Mohammedan too was not always mentioned to denote any very enviable type of mankind by the Hindus either. To call a mana Musalman or better still a 'Musanda' was worse than calling him a brute. Such bitter fulminations and mutual recriminations though they might have the excuse of inevitability in times of life and death

struggles while the fume and flame of the angry brutal passions last, should be forgotten as soon as men recover from their fits and claim to be recognized as gentlemen Nor should we forget that the ancient Jews used the term Hindu to denote strength or vigour For these were the qualities associated with our land and nation In an Arab epic named, 'So hab Mo Alakk' it is said that the oppression of kith and kin are bitterer or more fatal than the stroke of a Hindu sword, while 'returning a Hindu answer' is a proverbial way with the Persians themselves, by which they are said to mean 'to strike bravely and deeply with an Indian sword' The ancient Babylonians had been in the habit of denoting the finest quality of cloth as Sindhu because it generally came from the Saptasindhus -a custom which also shows that they also knew our country by its ancient name Sindhu, nor have we as yet heard of any other meaning being attributed to this word in the ancient Babylonian language than its national one

No Hindu can help feeling proud of himself at the curious interpretation put upon this epithet by the illustrious traveller, Yuan Chwang, himself belonging to our highly civilized and ancient neighbours, the Chinese, when he identifies our national name 'Hindu' with the Sanskrit 'Indu' and says in justification that the world had rightly called this nation 'Indus' for they and their civilization had like the moon ever been a constant source of delight and refreshment to the languid and weary soul of man Does not all this clearly show that the way of inspiring respect for our

oned him as to what his own name was. He replied it was 'Taktasingh58 "My good friend," I continued, "unlike the word Hindu whose origin is at the worst disputable, your name is indisputably a hybrid word and should therefore be first replaced in the register by some ancient and purely Aryan word, say Maudgalayan59 or Simhasansinha."60 Having evaded the point for a while he tried to point out how difficult it was to do so and how it would completely upset his economical position and after all how could he get the world to call him by the new-fangled name or what could be gained at all by this risky experiment of calling himself 'Sinhasansinh' while all others persisted in calling him Taktasinha 'But', I rejoined, 'if to change your individual name, which is indisputably foreign, seems to you so difficult, nay, harmful, then, my friend, how much more difficult would it be to change the name of a whole race which is so far from being a foreign invention that it is ours as much as the Vedas are ours? And how much more futile?' Of the futility of any such attempt to change a deep-rooted name, a far more convincing example than this personal one is furnished by our Sikh brotherhood in the Punjab. The band of the best and bravest of the Hindu race whom our Great Guru had chosen, triumphantly exclaiming, "The blue clothes are torn; the domination of the Turks and the "Pathans is over.61 For the expressed purpose of 62 the continuation of protection of religion protection the saints, destruction of the

⁵⁸ तनतिह 59 मीद्नलायन 60 निहासनिसह

⁶¹ नील यस्त्रके कपडे फाडे त्रक पठाणी अमल गया

78

the day

wicked, for this purpose I am born on this earth, 62 The class of warriors have given up their duty, and have adopted the language of the Mlechchas All are reduced to the one class of serfs People have lost their faith. The great Guru⁶³ was daily greeted with a 64 Vah Gurupik ke Fatch I Vah Gurupika Khalsa! The words Darbar, Diwan-Bahadur, have crept like thie-ves to the very heart of our Harimandirs. They are the sears of our old wounds. The wounds are healed but the scars persist and seem to be incorporated with our form. As long as any attempts to scratch them out threaten to harm us more than profit, all that we can do is to tolerate them, for after all they are the scars of the wounds received in a conflict that we have won an a gory field in which we remuned as the victors of

And yet, if any words, however closely they might have been associated with things sacred, are to be dissounced and changed they are these, for they all are indisputably foreign and reminiscent of alten domination. Does it not seem almost insincere that we who

can not only tolerate but love these names, should clamour to disown the epithet, Hindu or Hindusthan, which is the very cradle name of our race and of our land chosen by our patriarchs, recorded in the most ancient and revered annals of the world, the Vedas? -An epithet which had proudly been borne by millions of our countrymen on both sides of the Sindu for the last forty centuries if not more: which expanded to and embraced the whole of our country from Kashmir to the Cape and from Attock to Cuttack; which sums up in a word the whole geographical position of our race and our land, Sindhu or Hindu; which had been recognized as the sim of distinction to mark out 'The best nation of the Aryans,' an epithet for which our focs hated us and for which our warriors from Shalivahan to Shivaji went forth in their thousands to keep up their fight from century to century. It was this word. Hindu that was found impressed on the ashes of Padmini and Chitor. It was this word. Hindu that was owned by Tulsidas, Tukaram, Ramkrishna and Ramdas, Hindupadpadshahi was the dream of Ramdas, the mission of Shivaji, the pole star of the ambitions of Bajirao and Banda Bahadur, of Chhatrasal and Nanasaheb, of Pratap and Pratapaditya. It was inscribed on the banner defending which a hundred thousand Hindu heroes fell inflicting fatal wounds on the foes on the battlefield of Panipat-and Bhau at the head of them all, sword in hand !-within one single day! It was for the Hindupadpadshahi that inspite of all that martyrdom and in virtue of it. Nana and Mahadii steered the nation clear of all rocks and shoals and brought it

80 HINDUTA A

almost within sight of the coveted shores. It is this epithet Hindu or Hindusthan that, even to this day, owns a loving allegiance of millions of our people from the throne of Nepai to the begging bowl in the street. To disown these words is like cutting off and casting away the very heart of our people. You would be dead before you do that. It is not only fatal but futile. To oust the words, Hindu or Hindusthan, from the position they hold is to try to oust the Himalayas from theirs. Nothing but an earthquake with all its terrible wrenches and appulling uncertainties can accomplish that

The objection that is levelled against the appellations, Hiudu and Hindusthan on account of the mistaken notion which attributed their origin to foreign sources could, if left to itself be easily laid low by advancing indisputable historical facts. But as it is this objection is in some cases backed up by a secret fear that if the epithet be honoured and owned, then all those who do so would be looked upon as believers in the dogmas and religious practices that go by the name 'Hinduism' This fear, though it is not often admitted openly, that a Hindu is, necessarily and by the very fact that he is a Hindu, a believer in the so called Hinduism, makes many a man determined not to get convinced that the epithets are not an alien invention. Nor is this fear totally unjustified But it would be more candid if those who entertain this fear should openly advance it as the ground of their objection to being recognized as Hindus and not try to hide it under a false and untenable issue. The superficial similarity between these two

terms Hindutva and Hinduism is responsible for this regrettable estrangement that, at times, alienates wellmeaning gentlemen in our Hindu brotherhood. The distinction between these two terms would be presently made clear. Here it is enough to point out that if there be really any word of alien growth it is this word Hinduism and so we should not allow our thoughts to get confused by this new-fangled term. That a man can be as truly a Hindu as any without believing even in the Vedas as an independent religious authority is quite clear from the fact that thousands of our Jain brethren. not to mention others, are for generations calling themselves Hindus and would even to this day feel hurt if they be called otherwise. We refer to this simply as an actual fact apart from any detailed justification and examination of it which would presently follow. Till then, we hope our readers would not allow prejudicial fear regarding the conclusion of our argument as to its intrinsic merit and bear in mind that we have throughout the foregoing pages been dealing not with any 'ism' whatever but with Hindutva alone in its national and cultural aspects.

Now we are fairly in a postion to try to analyse the contents of one of the most comprehensive and bewilderingly synthetic concept known to human tongue. Hindutva is a derivative word from Hindu. we have seen that the earliest and the most sacred records of our race show that the appellation, Saptasindhu or Hapt-Hindu was applied to a region in which the Vedie nation flourished. The geographical sense being the primary one has, now contracting, now expanding, but

ever tend into dangerous war cries, so long no cultural or national unit can afford to loosen the bonds. especially those of a common name and a common banner, that are the mighty sources of organic cohesion and strength An American may become a citizen of India He would certainly be entitled, if bona fide, to be treated as our Bharatiya or Hindi, a countryman and a fellow citizen of ours. But as long as in addition to our country, he has not adopted our culture and our history, inherited our blood and has come to look upon our land not only as the land of his love but even of his worship, he cannot get himself incorporated into the Hindu fold For although the first requisite of Hindutya is that he be a citizen of Hindusthan either by himself or through his forefathers, yet it is not the only requisite qualification of it, as the term Hindu has come to mean much more than its geographical significance

Bond of common blood

The reason that explains why the term Hindu cannot be synonymous with Bharatiya or Hindi and mean an Indian only, naturally introduces us to the second essential implication of that term. The Hindus are not merely the citizens of the Indian state because they are united not only by the bonds of the love they bear to a common motherland but also by the bonds of a common blood. They are not only a Nation63 but also a race-jati6. The word jati derived from the

⁶⁵ राष्ट्र 66 जाति

root Jan 67 to produce, means a brotherhood, a race determined by a common origin,—possessing a common blood. All Hindus claim to have in their veins the blood of the mighty race incorporated with and descended from the Vedic fathers, the Sindhus. We are well aware of the not unoften interested objection that carpingly questions 'but are you really a race? Can you be said to possess a common blood?' We can only answer by questioning in return, 'Are the English a race? I sthere anything as English blood, the French blood, the German blood or the Chinese blood in this world? Do they, who have been freely infusing foreign blood into their race by contracting marriages with other

races and peoples possess a common blood and claim

ever tend into dangerous war cries so long no cultural or national unit can afford to loosen the bonds especially those of a common name and a common banner, that are the mighty sources of organic cohesion and strength An American may become a citizen of India He would certainly be entitled, if bona fide, to be treated as our Bharativa or Hindi, a countryman and a fellow citizen of ours. But as long as in addition to our country, he has not adopted our culture and our history, inherited our blood and has come to look upon our land not only as the land of his love but even of his worship, he cannot get himself incorporated into the Hindu fold For although the first requisite of Hindutya is that he be a citizen of Hindusthan either by himself or through his forefathers, yet it is not the only requisite qualification of it, as the term Hindu has come to mean much more than its geographical significance

Bond of common blood

The reason that explains why the term Hindu cannot be synonymous with Bharauya or Hindi and mean an Indian only, naturally introduces us to the second essential implication of that term. The Hindus are not merely the cutizens of the Indian state because they are united not only by the bonds of the love they bear to a common motherland but also by the bonds of a common blood. They are not only a Nation 65 but also a race-jatific. The word jati derived from the

⁶⁵ राष्ट्र 66 जाति

root Jan 67 to produce, means a brotherhood, a race determined by a common origin,-possessing a common blood. All Hindus claim to have in their veins the blood of the mighty race incorporated with and descended from the Vedic fathers, the Sindhus. We are well aware of the not unoften interested objection that carpingly questions 'but are you really a race? Can you be said to possess a common blood?' We can only answer by questioning in return, 'Are the English a race? Is there anything as English blood, the French blood, the German blood or the Chinese blood in this world? Do they, who have been freely infusing foreign blood into their race by contracting marriages with other races and peoples possess a common blood and claim to be a race by themselves? If they do, Hindus also can emphatically do so. For the very castes, which you owing to your colossal failure to understand and view them in the right perspective, assert to have barred the common flow of blood into our race, have done so more truly and more effectively as regards the foreign blood than our own. Nay, is not the very presence of these present castes a standing testimony to a common flow of blood from a Brahman to a Chandal ?68 Even a cursory glance at any of our Smritis would conclusively prove that the Anuloma69 and Pratiloma70 marriage institutions were the order of the day and have given birth to the majority of the castes that obtain amongst us. If a Kshatriya has a son by a Shudra woman, he gives birth to the Ugra caste; again, if the Kshatriya

67 जन 68 चाडाल 69 अनुलोम 70 प्रतिलाम

raises an issue on an Ugra he founds a Shvapacha caste while a Brahman mother and a Shudra father beget the caste, Chandal From the Vedic story of Satyakama Jabalı to Mahadajı Shinde every page of our history shows that the ancient Ganges of our blood has come down from the altitudes of the sublime Vedic heights to the plains of our modern history fertilizing much, incorporating many a noble stream and purifying many a lost soul, increasing in volume and richness, defying the danger of being lost in bogs and sands and flows to-day refreshed and reinvigorated more than ever All that the caste system has done is to regulate its noble bood on lines believed-and on the whole rightly believedby our saintly and patriotic law-givers and kings to contribute most to fertilize and enrich all that was barren and poor, without famishing and debasing all that was flourishing and nobly endowed

This is true not only in the case of those that are four castes, or between the chief four castes, or between the chief four castes, or between the chief four castes and the cross-born but also in the case of those tribes or races who somewhere in the dimness of the hoary past were leading a separate and self-centred life. Witness the customs prevalent in Malabar or Nepal where a Hindu of the highest caste is allowed to marry a woman of those who are supposed to be the originally alien tribes but who, even if the suggestion be true, have by their brave and loving defence of the Hindu culture have been incorporated with and bound to us by the dearest of ties—the ties of a common blood. Is the Nagavan-sha a Drawdana family? Well, then who is who now

when the youths of Agnivansha have taken to them the daughters of the Nagas and the Chandravansha and the Suryavansha have bestowed their daughters on the youths of both the families? Down to the day of Harsha-not to mention the partial break-down of the caste-system itself in the centuries of Buddhistic sway -intermarriages were the order of the day. Take for example the case of a single family of the Pandawas. The sage Parashar was a Brahman. He fell in love with the fair maid of a fisherman who gave birth to the world-renowned Vyas, who in his turn raised two sons on the Kshatriya princesses Amba and Ambalika; one of these two sons. Pandu allowed his wives to raise issue by resorting to the Niyoga system and they having solicited the love of men of unknown castes, gave birth to the heroes of our great epic. Without mentioning equally distinguished characters of the same period Karna, Babhruwahana, Ghatotkacha, Vidur and others, we beg to point out to the relatively modern cases of Chandragupta said to have married a Brahman girl who gave birth to the father of Ashok; Ashok who had as a prince married a Vaishya maid; Harsha who being a Vaishya gave his daughter in marriage to a Kshatriya prince; Vyadhakarma who is said to be the son of a Vyadha with whom his mother, a Brahman girl, had fallen in love and who grew to be the 'Yajnacharya of Vikramaditya, Surdas; Krishna Bhatta who being a Brahman fell so desperately in love with a Chandala girl as to lead an open married life with her and subsequently became the founder of the religious sect Matangi Pantha; who nevertheless call

88

themselves and are perfectly entitled to be recognized as Hindus This is not all An individual at times by his or her own actions may lose his or her first caste and be relegated to mother A Shudra can become a Brahman and Brahman become a Shudra The miunction

'न कुल कुलमित्याहुराचार कुलमुच्यत । आचारकुशला राजन् इह चामुत्र नदते ।। उपासते येन पूर्वा द्विजा सञ्या न पश्चिमाम्। सर्वास्तान् धार्मिको राजा सूद्रकमाणि याजयेत ।।

[The family is not really called a family, it is the practices and customs that are called a family. One that does his duties is praised on earth and in heaven I was not always an empty threat. Many a Kshatriya has by taking to agriculture and other occupations of life lost the respect due to a Kshatriya and were classed with some of the other castes, while many a brave man, in cases whole tribes, raised themselves to the position, the rights and tutles of the Kshatriyas and were recognized as such. Being outcast from a caste, which is an event of daily occurrence, is only getting incorporated with some other.

Not only is this true so far as those Hindus only who believe in the caste system based on the Vedic tenets, are concerned, but even in the case of Avaidik sects of the Hindu people. As it was true in the Buddhistic period that a Buddhist father a Vaidik mother, a Jain son, could be found in a single joint family, so even to day Jains and Valshnavas intermarry.

in Gujarat, Sikhs and Sanatanis in Punjab and 'Sind. Moreover, today's Manbhav or Lingayat or Sikh or Satnami is yesterday's Hindu and to-day's Hindu may be tomorrow's Lingayat or Bramho or Sikh.

And no word can give full expression to this racial unity of our people as the epithet. Hindu, does. Some of us were Aryans and some Anaryans; but Ayars and Navars-we were all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us are Brahmans and some Namashudras or Panchamas; but Brahmans or Chandalas-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us are Daxinatvas and some Gauds; but Gauds or Saraswatas-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us were Rakhasas and some Yakshas; but Rakshasas or Yakshas-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us were Vanaras and some Kinnaras: but Vanaras or Naras-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us are Jains and some Jangamas; but Jains or Jangamas-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us are monists, some, pantheists; some theists and some atheists. But monotheists or atheists-we are all Hindus and own a common blood. We are not only a nation but a Jati, a born brotherhood. Nothing else counts; it is after all a question of heart. We feel that the same ancient blood that coursed through the veins of Ram and Krishna, Buddha and Mahavir, Nanak and Chaitanya, Basava and Madhava, of Rohidas and Tiruvelluvar courses throughout Hindudom from vein to vein, pulsates from heart to heart. We feel we are a

from Sindhu to Sindhu from the Indus to the Seas, as their fatherland consequently claim to inherit the blood of the race that has evolved, by incorporation and adaptation, from the ancient Suptasindhus can be said to possess two of the most essential requisites of Hindutva.

Common culture

JATI, a race bound together by the dearest ties of blood and therefore it must be so

After all there is throughout this world so far as man is concerned but a single race-the human race kept alive by one common blood, the human blood All other talk is at best provisional, a makeshift and only relatively true Nature is constantly trying to overthrow the artificial barriers you raise between race and race To try to prevent the commingling of blood is to build on sand. Sexual attraction has proved more powerful than all the commands of all the prophets put together. Even as at is, not even the aborigines of the Andamans are without some sprinkling of the so-called Aryan blood in their veins and vice versa. Truly speaking all that any one of us can claim, all that history entitles one to claim, is that one has the blood of all mankind in one's veins. The fundamental unity of man from pole to pole is true, all else only relatively so

And speaking relatively alone, no people in the world can more justly claim to get recognized as a racial unit than the Hindus and perhaps the Jews A Hindu marrying a Hindu mav lose his caste but not his Hindutva A Hindu believing in any theo.etical or philosophical or social system, orthodox or heterodox, provided it is unquestionably indigenous and founded by a Hindu may lose his sect but not his Hindutva-his Hinduness—because the most important essential which determines it is the inheritance of the Hindu blood Therefore all those who love the land that stretches

from Sindhu to Sindhu from the Indus to the Seas, as their fatherland consequently claim to inherit the blood of the race that has evolved, by incorporation and adaptation, from the ancient Suptasindhus can be said to possess two of the most essential requisites of Hindutva.

Common culture

But only two: because a moment's consideration would show that these two qualifications of one nation and one race-of a common fatherland and therefore of a common blood-cannot exhaust all the requisites of Hindutva. The majority of the Indian Mohammedans may, if free from the prejudices born of ignorance, come to love our land as their fatherland, as the patriotic and noble-minded amongst them have always been doing. The story of their conversions, forcible in millions of cases, is too recent to make them forget, even if they like to do so, that they inherit Hindu blood in their veins. But can we, who here are concerned with investigating into facts as they are and not as they should be, recognize these Mohammedans as Hindus? Many a Mohammedan community in Kashmir and other parts of India as well as the Christians in South India observe our caste rules to such an extent as to marry generally within the pale of their castes alone; yet, it is clear that though their original Hindu blood is thus almost unaffected by an alien adulteration, yet they cannot be called Hindus in the sense in which that term is actually understood, because, we Hindus are bound together not only by the tie of the love we bear to a common

fatherland and by the common blood that courses through our veins and keeps our hearts throbbing and our affections warm, but also by the tie of the common homage we pay to our great civilization—our Hindu culture, which could not be better rendered than by the word Sanskrit? suggestive as it is of that language, Sanskrit, which has been the chosen means of expression and preservation of that culture, of all that was best and worth-preserving in the history of our race. We are one because we are a nation a race and own a common Sanskrit (civilization)

What is civilization?

But what is civilization? Civilization is the expression of the mind of man Civilization is the account of what man has made of matter. If matter is the creation of the Lord, then civilization is the miniature secondary creation of man At its best it is the perfect triumph of the soul of man over matter and man allike. Wherever and to the extent to which man has succeeded in moulding matter to the delight of his soul, civilization begins. And it triumphs when he has tapped all the sources of Supreme Delight satisfying the spiritual aspirations of his being towards strength and beauty and love, realising Life in all its fulness and richness.

The story of the civilization of a nation is the story of its thoughts, its actions and its achievements Literature and art tell us of its thoughts, history and social institutions of its actions and achievements. In

⁷¹ गस्तृति

none of these can man remain isolated. The primitive 'dungi' (canoe) of the Andamanese can truly claim to have influenced the up-to-date dreadnoughts of America. The latest adventure of fashion amongst the fair sex in Paris is but the lineal descendant of the bunch of leaves stuck in the girdle-string which constitutes the perfection of the tolet of a 'Patua' girl.

And yet a 'dungi' remains a dungi and a dreadnought, a dreadnought; they are too much more unlike
each other than like to be identified as one and the
same. Even so, although the Hindus have lent much
and borrowed much like any other people, yet their
civilization is too characteristic to be mistaken for any
other cultural unit. And secondly, however striking
their mutual differences be, they are too much more
like each other than unlike, to be denied the right of
being recognized as a cultural unit amongst other such
units in the world owning a common history, a common
literature and a common civilization

Paradoxical as it may sound to those who have fallen victims to the interested or ignorant cry that has secured the ear of the present world that the Hindus have no history, it nevertheless remains true that Hindus are about the only people who have succeeded in preserving their history—riding through earthquakes, bridging over deluges. It begins with their Vedas which are the first extant chapter of the story of our race. The first cradle songs that every Hindu girl listens to are the songs of Sita, the good. Some of us worship Rama as an incarnation, some admire him as a hero and a

warrior, and all love him as the most illustrious representative monarch of our race. Maruti and Bheemsen are the never failing source of strength and physical perfection to the Hindu youth, Savitri and Damayanti, the never failing ideals of constancy and chastity of the Hindu maid. The love, that Radha made to the Divine Cow-herd in Gokul finds ats echo wherever a Hindu lover kisses his beloved The giant struggle of the Kurus, the set duels of Arjun and Karna of Bhcem and Dusshasan that took place on the field of Kuru kshetra thousands of years ago are rehearsed in all their thrill from cottage to cottage and from palace to palace Abhimanyu could not have been dearer to Ariun than he is to us From Ceylon to Kashmir, Hindusthan daily sheds tears as lovingly and as bitterly as his father did at the mention of the fall of that lotus eyed youth What more shall we say? The story of Rama van and Mahabharat alone would bring us together and weld us into a race even if we be scattered to all the four winds like a handful of sand. I read the life of a Mazzini and I exicaim, 'How patriotic they are!' I read the life of a Madhavacharva and exclaim, 'How natriotic ne are! The fall of prithwirai is bewailed in Bengal the martyred sons of Govindsing in Maharashtra An Aryasamanst historian in the extreme north feels that Harihar and Bukka of the extreme south fought for him, and a Santanaist historian in the extreme south feels that Guru Teibahadur died for him We had kings in common. We had kingdoms in common. We had stability in common. We had triumohs in common and disasters in common The names of Mokavasayya and

Pisal, Jayachand and Kalapahad⁷² make us all feel as sinners do. The names of Ashok, Bhaskaracharya, Panini and Kapila⁷³ leave us all electrified with a sense of personal elevation.

But what about the internecine wars amongst Hindus? We answer, what about the Wars of Roses amongst the English? What of theinternecine struggle, of state against state, sect against sect, class against class, each invoking foreign help against his own countrymen, in Italy, in Germany, in France, in America? Are they still a people, a nation and do they possess a common history? If they do, the Hindus do. If the Hindus do not possess a common history, then none in the world does

As our history tells the story of the action of our race, so does our literature taken in its fullest sense tell the story of the thought of our race. Thought, they say, is inseparable from our common tongue. Sanskrit. Verily it is our mother-tongue—the tongue in which the mothers of our race spoke and which has given birth lo all our present tongues. Our gods spoke in Sanskrit, our sages thought in Sanskrit, our poets wrote in Sanskrit. All that is best in us—the best thoughts, the best ideas, the best lines—seeks instinctively to clothe itself in Sanskrit. To millions it is still the language of their gods; to others it is the language of their ancestors; to all it is the language of

^{72.} मोकावसम्या, पिसाळ, जयचंद, कालापहाड,

^{73.} अभोक, भारकराचार्य, पाणिनि, कपिल.

physical one to the land and the blood of his forefathers

A Hindu then is he who feels attachment to the land that extends from Sindhii to Sindhii as the land of his forefathers - as his Fatherland, who inherits the blood of the great race whose first and discernible source could be traced from the Himalayan altitudes of the Vedic Saptasindhus and which assimilating all that was incorporated and ennoblingall that was assimilated has grown into and come to be known as the Hindu people, and who, as a consequence of the foregoing attributes, has inherited and claims as his own the Hindu Sanskriti, the Hindu civilization, as represented in a common history, common heroes, a common literature, common art, a common law and a common jurisprudence, common fairs and festivals, rites and rituals, ceremonies and sacraments. Not that every Hindu has all these details of the Hindu Sanskriti down to each syllable common with other Hindus, but that, he has more of it common with his Hindu brothers than with, say, an Arab or an Englishman Not that a non-Hindu does not hold any of these details in common with a Hindu but that, he differs more from a Hindu than he agrees with him That is why Christian and Mohammedan communities who, were but very recently Hindus and in a majority of cases had been at least in their first generation most unwilling denizens of their new fold. claim though they might have a common Fatherland, and an almost pure Hindu blood and parentage with us cannot be recognized as Hindus, as since their adoption of the new cult they had ceased

to own Hindu civilization (Sanskriti) as a whole. They belong, or feel that they belong, to a cultural unit altogether different from the Hindu one. Their heroes and their hero-worship, their fairs and their festivals, their ideals and their outlook on life, have now ceased to be common with ours. Thus the presence of this third essential of Hindutva which requires of every Hindu uncommon and loving attachment to his racial Sanskriti enables us most perfectly to determine the nature of Hindutva without any danger of using over lapping or exclusive attributes.

But take the case of a patriotic Bohra or a Khoja countryman of ours. He loves our land of Hindusthan as his Fatherland which indisputably is the land of his forefathers. He possesses-in certain cases they dopure Hindu blood; especially if he is the first convert to Mohammedanism he must be allowed to claim to inherit the blood of Hindu parents. He is an intelligent and reasonable man, loves our history and our heroes; in fact the Bohras and the Khojas as a community, worship as heroes our great ten Avatars only adding Mohammad as the eleventh. He is actually, along with his community subject to the Hindu law-the law of his forefathers. He is, so far as the three essentials of nation (Rashtra), race (Jati) and civilization (Sanskriti) are concerned, a Hindu. He may differ as regards a few festivals or may add a few more heroes to the pantheon of his supermen or demigods. But we have repeatedly said that difference in details here or emphasis there, does not throw us outside the pale of Hindu Sanskriti. The sub-communutes amongst the Hindus observe many a custom, not only contradictory but even, conflicting with the customs of other Hindu communities. Yet both of them are Hindus. So also in the above cases of patriotic Bohra or a Christian or a Khoja, who could satisfy the required qualifications of Hindus to such a degree as that, why should be not be recognized as a Hindu?

He would certainly have been recognized as such but for his attitude towards a single detail, which though it is covered by the words, Sanskriti or culture, is yet too important to be lost in the multitude of other attributes, and therefore deserves a special treat ment and analysis, which again brings us face to face with the question which, involving as it does the religious aspect of Hindutva, had often been avoided by us, not because we fight shy of it, but on account of our wish to fight it out all the more thoroughly and effectively For, we are now better equipped to determine the significance and attempt an analysis of the two terms Hinduism and Hindutva

Who is a Hindu?

The words Hindutva and Hindusm both of them being derived from the word Hindu, must necessarily be understood to refer to the whole of the Hindu people Any definition of Hinduism that leaves out any important section of our people and forces them either to Play false to their convictions or to go outside the pale

of Hindutva stands self-condemned. Hinduism means the system of religious beliefs found common amongst the Hindu people. And the only way to find out what those religious beliefs of the Hindus are, i. e., what constitutes Hinduism, you must first define a Hindu. But forgetting this chief implication of the word, Hinduism which clearly presupposes an independent conception of a Hindu many people go about to determine the essentials of Hinduism and finding none so satisfactory as to include, without overlapping all our Hindu communities, come to the desperate conclusion-which does not satisfy them either -that therefore those communities are not Hindus at all: not because the definition they had framed is open to the fault of exclusion but because those communities do not subject themselves to the required tenets which these gentlemen have thought it fit to lable as 'Hinduism'. This way of answering the question 'who is a Hindu' is really preposterous and has given rise to so much of bitterness amongst some of our brethren of Avaidik school of thought, the Sikh, the Jain, the Devsamaji and even our patriotic and progressive Aryasamajis.

'Who is a Hindu?'—he who is subject to the tenets of Hinduism. Very well. What is Hinduism?—those tenets to which the Hindus are subjected. This is very nearly arguing in a circle and can never lead to a satisfactory solution. Many of our friends who have been on this wrong track have come back to tell us 'there are no such people as Hindus at all!' If some Indian, as gifted as that Englishman who first coined the word 'Englishism'

and proceeds to find out the underlying unity of beliefs amongst the English people, gets disgusted with thousands of sects and societies from Jews to the Jacobins, from Trinity to Utility, and comes out to announce that 'there are no such people as the English at all,' he would not make himself more ridiculous than those who declare in cold print 'there is nothing as a Hindu people'. Any one who wants to see what a confusion of thought prevails on the point and how the failure to analyse separately the two terms Hindutva and Hinduism renders that confusion worst confounded may do well to go through the booklet 'Essentials of Hinduism' published by the enterprising 'Natesan and Co'.

Hinduism means the 'ism' of the Hindu, and as the word Hindu has been derived from the word Sindhu, the Indus, meaning primarily all the people who reside in the land that extends from Sindhu to Sindhu. Hinduism must necessarily mean the religion or the religions that are peculiar and native to this land and these people. If we are unable to reduce the different tenets and beliefs to a single system of religion then the only way would be to cease to maintain that Hinduism is a system and to say that it is a set of systems consistent with, or if you like, contradictory or even conflicting with, each other But in no case can you advance this your failure to determine the meaning of Hinduism as a ground to doubt the existence of the Hindu nation itself or worse still to commit a sacrilege in hurting the feelings of our Avaidik brethren and

Vaidik Hindu brethren alike, by relegating any of them to the Non-Hindu pale.

The limits of this essay do not permit us to determine the nature or the essentials of Hinduism or to try to discuss it at any great length. As we have shown above the enquiry into what is Hinduism can only begin after the question 'who is a Hindu'? is rightly answered determining the essentials of Hindutva; and as it is only with these essentials of Hindutva. which enable us to know who is a Hindu, that this our present enquiry is concerned, the discussion of Hinduism falls necessarily outside of our scope. We have to take cognizance of it only so far as it trespasses on the field of our special charge. Hinduism is a word that properly speaking should be applied to all the religious beliefs that the different communities of the Hindu people hold. But it is generally applied to that system of religion which the majority of the Hindu people follow. It is natural that a religion or a country or community should derive its name from the characteristic feature which is common to an overwhelming majority that constitutes or contributes to it. It is also convenient for easy reference or parlance, But a convenient term that is not only delusive but harmful and positively misleading should not any longer be allowed to blind our judgement. The majority of the Hindus subscribes to that system of religion which could fitly be described by the attribute that constitutes its special feature, as told by Shruti, Smriti and Puranas or Sanatan Dharma. They would not object if it even be called Vaidik Dharma. But selves The Panees, the Dasas, the Vratyas 6 and many others from time to time seem to have either seceded from or never belonged to the orthodox church and yet racially and nationally they were conscious of being a people by themselves There was such a thing as Vedic religion, but it could not even be identified with Sindhu Dharma, for the latter term, had it been coined, would have naturally meant the set of religions prevailing in Saptasindhu, othodox as well as heterodox By a process of elimination and assimilation the race of the Sindhus at last grew into the race of Hindus, and the land of the Sindhus 1 e Sindhustan, into the land of the Hindus i e Hindusthan. While their orthodox and the heterodox schools of religions have.-having tested much, dared much and known much -having subjected to the most searching examination possible till then, all that lay between the grandest and the timest from the atom to the Atman-from the Paramanu to the Parabrahma .- having sounded the deepest secrets of thoughts and having sorred to the highest altitudes of ecstasy,given birth to a synthesis that sympathises with all aspirants towards truth from the monist to the atheist Truth was its goal, realization its method. It is neither Vedic nor non Vedic, it is both. It is the veritable science of religion applied This is Hindudharma-the conclusion of the conclusions arrived at by harmonising the detailed experience of all the schools of religious thought-Vaidik, Sanatani, Jain Baudda, Sikha or Devasamaji Each one and every one of those systems or

⁷⁶ पणी, दाम, ब्रात्य

sects which are the direct descendants and developments of the religious beliefs Vaidik and hon-Vaidik. that obtained in the land of the Saptasindhus or in the other unrecorded communities in other parts of India in the Vedic period, belongs to and is an integral part of Hindudharma.

Therefore the Vaidik or the Sanatan Dharma itself is merely a sect of Hinduism or Hindu Dharma, however overwhelming be the majority that contributes to its tenets. It was a definition of this Sanatan Dharma which the late Lokamanya Tilak framed in the famous verse.

'प्रामाण्यवृद्धिवेदेषु साधनानामनेकता। उपास्यानामनियम एतद्धमंस्य लक्षणम्।।'

Belief in the Vedas, many means, no strict rule for worship-these are the features of the Hindu religion.

In a learned article that he had contributed to the Chitramaya jagat which bears the mark of his deep endition and insight Lokamaya in an attempt to develop this more or less negative definition into a positive one, had clearly suggested that he had an eye not on Hindura as such but only on what was popularly called Hindudharma, and had also admitted that it could hardly include in its sweep the Aryasamajis and other seets which nevertheless are racially and nationally Hindus of Hindus. That definition, excellent so far as it goes, is in fact not a definition of Hindudharma. much less of Hinduva but of Sanatan Dharma—the Shruti-Smri-

ti-puranokta⁷⁷ sect, which being the most popular of all sects of Hindu Dharma was naturally but loosely mistaken for Hindu Dharma itself

Thus Hindu Dharma being etymologically as well as actually and in its religious aspects only, (for Dharma is not merely religion) the religion of the Hindus, it necessarily partakes of all the essentials that character-We have found that the first important essential qualification of a Hindu is that to him the land that extends from Sindhu to Sindhu is the Fatherland, (Pitribhu) the Motherland (Matribhu) the land of his pattiarchs and forefathers. The system or set of religions which we call Hindu Dharma-Vaidik and Non-Vaidik-is as truly the offspring of this soil as the men whose thoughts they are or who 'saw' the Truth revealed in them To Hindu Dharma with all its sects and systems this land, Sindhusthan, is the land of its revelation, the land of its birth on this human plane As the Ganges, though flowing from the lotus feet of Vishnu himself, is even to the most orthodox devotee and mystic so far as human plane is concerned the daughter of the Himalayas, even so, this land is the birth-place-the Matribhu (motherland) and the Pitribhu (fatherland)-of that Tatvajnana (philosophy) which in its religious aspect is signified as Hindu Dharma The second most important essential of Hindutva is that a Hindu is a descendant of Hindu parents, claims to have the blood of the ancient Sindhu and the race that sprang from them in his veins. This also is

⁷⁷ श्रृति-स्मृति-पुराणोक्त

true of the different schools of religion of the Hindus; for they too being either founded by or revealed to the Hindu sages, and seers are the moral and cultural and spiritual descendants and development of the Thought of Saptasindhus through the process of assimilation and elimination, as we are of their seed. Not only is Hindu Dharma the growth of the natural environments and of the thought of the Indus, but also of the Sanskriti or culture of the Hindus. The environmental frames in which its scenes, whether of the Vaidik period or of Bauddha, Jain or any extremely modern ones of Chaitanya, Chakradhar, Basava, Nanak, Dayananda or Raja Rammohan, are set, the technical terms and the language that furnished expression to its highest revelation and ecstasies, its mythology and its philosophy, the conceptions it controverted and the conceptions it adopted, have the indelible stamp of Hindu culture, of Hindu Sanskriti, impressed upon them. Hindu Dharma of all shades and schools, lives and grows and has its being in the atmosphere of Hindu culture, and the Dharma of a Hindu being so completely identified with the land of the Hindus, this land to him is not only a Pitribhu but a Punyabhu, not only a fatherland but a holyland

Yes, this Bharatbhumi, this Sindusthan, this land ours that stretches from Sindhu to Sindhu is our Punyabhumi, for it was in this land that the Founders of our faith and the Seers to whom 'Veda' the Knowledge was revealed, from Vaidik seers to Dayananda, from Jina to Mahavir, from Buddha to Nagasen, from Nanak to Govind, from Banda to Basava, from Chakradhar

to Chaitanya, from Ramdas to Rammohan, our Gurus and Godmen were born and bred The very dust of its paths echocs the footfalls of our Prophets and Gurus Sacred are its rivers, hallowed its groves, for it was either on their moonlit ghats or under their eventide long shadows, that the deepest problems of life, of man, soul and God, of Brahma and Maya, were debated and discussed by a Buddha or a Shankar Ah! every hill and dell is instinct with memories of a Kapil or a Vyas, Shankar or Ramdas Here Bhagirath rules, there Kurukshetra lies Here Ramchandra made his first halt of an exile, there Janaki saw the golden deer and fondly pressed her lover to kill it. Here the divine Cowherd played on his flute that made every heart in Gokul dance in harmony as if in a hypnotized sleep. Here is Bodhi Vriksha, here the deer-park, here Mahaveer entered Nirvana Here stood crowds of worshippers amongst whom Nanak sat and sang the Arati 'the sun & the moon are the lights in the plate of the sky 178. Here Gonichand the king took on yows of Gonichand the Jogi and with a bowl in his hand knocked at his sister's door for a handful of alms! Here the son of Bandabahadur was hacked to pieces before the eyes of his father and the young bleeding heart of the son thrust in the father's mouth for the fault of dying as a Hindu! Every stone here has a story of martyrdom to tell! Every inch of thy soil, O Mother! has been a sacrificial ground! Not only 'where the Krishnasar is found' but from Kasmir to Sinhar it is 'Land of sacrifice,' sancti-

⁷⁸ गमन थाल रविचट टीपक बने

fied with a Jnana Yajna or an Atmaajna. (self-sacrifice) So to every Hindu, from the Santal to the Sadhu this Bharata bhumi this Sindhusthan is at once a Pitribhu and a Punyabhu—fatherland and a holy land. That is why in the case of some of our Mohamme—

dan or Christian countrymen who had originally been forcibly converted to a non-Hindu religion and who consequently have inherited along with Hindus, a common Fatherland and a greater part of the wealth of a common culture-language, law, customs, folklore and history-are not and cannot be recognized as Hindus. For though Hindusthan to them is Fatherland as to any other Hindu yet it is not to them a Holyland too. Their holyland is far off in Arabia or Palestine. Their mythology and Godmen, ideas and heroes are not the children of this soil, Consequently their names and their outlook smack of a foreign origin. Their love is divided. Nay, if some of them be really believing what they profess to do, then there can be no choice-they must, to a man, set their Holyland above their Fatherland in their love and allegiance. That is but natural. We are not condemning nor are we lamenting. We are simply telling facts as they stand. We have tried to determine the essentials of Hindutva and in doing so we have discovered that the Bohras and such other Mohammedan or Christian communities possess all the essential qualifications of Hindutva but one and that is that they do not look upon India as their Holyland.

It is not a question of embracing any doctrine propounding any new theory of the interpretation of

God, Soul and Man, for we honestly believe that the Hindu Thought-we are not speaking of any religion which is dogma-has exhausted the very possibilities of human speculation as to the nature of the Unkno wn-if not the Unknowable, or the nature of the relation between that and thou Are you a monist-a monotheist-a pantheist -an atheist -an agnostic? Here is ample room, O soul! whatever thou art, to love and grow to thy fullest height and satisfaction in this Temple of temples, that stands on no personal foundation but on the broad and deep and strong foundation of Truth Why goest then to fill thy little pitcher to wells far off, when thou standest on the banks of the crystal-streamed Ganges herself? Does not the blood in your veins. O brother, of our common forefathers cry aloud with the recollections of the dear old scenes and ties from which they were so cruelly snatched away at the point of the sword? Then come ye back to the fold of your brothers and sisters who with arms extended are standing at the open gate to welcome you - their long lost kith and kin. Where can you find more freedom of worship than in this land where a Charvak could preach atheism from the steps of the temple of Mahakal -more freedom of social organisation than in the Hindu society where from the Patnis of Orissa to the Pandits of Benares, from the Santalas to the Sadhus, each can develop a distinct social type of polity or organize a new one? Verily whatever could be found in the world is found here too. And if anything is not found here it could be found nowhere 79

⁷⁹ यदिहास्ति न सर्वत यसेहास्ति न क्तचित ।

Ye, who by tace, by blood, by culture, by nationality possess almost all the essentials of Hindutva and had been forcibly snatched out of our ancestral home by the hand of violence—ye, have only to render whole-hearted love to our common Mother and recognize her not only as Fatherland (Pitribhu) but even as a Holyland (punyabhu); and ye would be most welcome to the Hindu fold.

This is a choice which our countrymen and our old kith and kin, the Bohras, Khojas, Memons and other Mohammedan and Christian communities are free to make —a choice again which must be a choice of love. But as long as they are not minded thus, so long they cannot be recognized as Hindus. We are, it must be remembered, trying to analyse and determine the essentials of Hindutva as that word is actually understood to signify and would not be justified in straining it in its application to suit any pre-conceived notions or party convenience.

A Hindu, therefore, to sum up the conclusions arrived at, is he who looks upon the land that extends from Sindu to Sindu-from the Indus to the Seas, as the land of his forefathers—his Fatherland (Pittibhu), who inherits the blood of that race whose first discernible source could be traced to the Vedic Saptasindhus and which on its onward march, assimilating much that was incorporated and ennobling much that was assimilated, has come to be known as the Hindu people, who has inherited and claims as his own the culture of that race as expressed chiefly

in their common classical language. Sanskrit and represented by a common history, a common literature, art and architecture, law and jurisprudence, rites and rituals, ceremonies and sacraments, fairs and festivals; and who above all, addresses this land, this Sindhusthan as his Holyland (Punyabhu), as the land of his prophets and seers, of his godmen and gurus, the land of picty and pilgrimage. These are the essentials of Hindutva-a common nation (Rashtra) a common race (Jati) and a common civilization (Sanskriti) All these essentials could best be summed up by stating in brief that he is a Hindu to whom Sindhusthan is not only a Pitribhu but also a Punyabhu For the first two essentials of Hindutva-nation and Jati-are clearly denoted and connoted by the word Pitrubhu while the third essential of Sanskriti is pre eminently implied by the word Punyabhu, as it is precisely Sanskriti including sanskaras 1 e rites and rituals, ceremonies and sacraments, that makes a land a Holyland the definition more handy, we may be allowed to compress it in a couplet .-

A Sindu Sindhu paryanta, Yasya Bharatbhumika Pitribhuh Punyabhushchaiva sa vai Hinduriti smritah

आसिधुसिधुपयेता यस्य भारतभूमिका। पित्रभ, पृण्यभृश्चेव स वे हिंदुरिति स्मृतः॥

Hindus in Sindh

The rough analysis to which the conception of Hindutva was subjected in the toregoing pages has enabled us to frame a working definition embodying or rather indicating the salient essentials of it. It now remains to see how far this general definition can stand a detailed examination that could be best conducted by testing a few typical and some of the most different cases which have in fact made the necessity of a definition so badly felt. While developing it we have tried at each step to free it, so far as it is possible to do so in the case of so comprehensive and elusive a generalization as that, from the defect of being too wide. If we find in testing a few typical cases in the light of this definition that they all fit in well then we may be sure that it is free from the opposite defect of being too narrow. We have seen that it is not open to Ativyapti,80 it remains to be seen whether it is not open to Avyapti 81 also

The geographical divisions that obtain amongst the Hindus would, at a glance, be seen to harmonize well with the spirit of our definition. The fundamental basis of it is the land from Sindhu to Sindhu, and although many of our brethren, and especially those who had been the most undoubted descendants of the ancient Sindhus and who besides are the very people that to this day have never changed the ancient name either of their land or of their race, and are called to day as five thousand years ago, Sindhi, the children of Sindhudesha, inhabit the other bank of the Indus; yet, as in the mention of a river the mention of both its banks is implied as a matter of course so that part of

⁸⁰ अतिब्याप्ति 81 अव्याप्ति

lands other than Hindusthan render one a non-Hindu? Certainly not; for the first essential of Hindutva is not that a man must not reside un lands outside India, but that wherever he or his descendants may happen to be he must recognize Sindhusthan as the land of his forefathers. Nay more; it is not a question of recogni-

tion either. If his ancestors came from India as

Sindh which constitutes the western bank of the Indus is a natural part of Sindhusthan and is covered by our definition Secondly, accessories to the mainland are always known by the name of the latter And thirdly, our Hindu people on that side of the Sindhu had throughout history looked upon this land of Bharatvarsha as their real Pitribhu as well as Punyabhu. They had never been guilty of matricide in attempting to set up the patch they inhabit as their only Pitribhu or only On the other hand their Banaras and Kailas and Gangotri are our Banaras and Kailas and Gangotri From the Vedic time they are a part integral of Bharatvarsha, Sindhushivisauveers are mentioned in Ramayan and Mahabharat as the rightful constituents of the great Hindu confederacy and commonwealth They belong to our Rashtra to our Jati and to our Sanskriti Therefore they are Hindus and their case is wellcovered by our definition

But even if one rejects the contention that the ownership of a river does employ, unless otherwise stated, the ownership of both its banks yet the definition remains as sound as ever and applies to our Sindhi brethren on other grounds. For apart from the special case of our Sindhi brethren that inhabit the other side of the Indus, there are hundreds of thousands of Hindus who have settled in all parts of the world. A time may come when these our Hindu colonists, who even to day are the dominating factor in trade, numbers, capacity and intellect in their respective lands, may come to own a whole country and form a separate state But will this simple fact of residence in

into one nation, so the ancient racial distinctions of Aryans, Kolarians, Dravidians and others even if they had ever been keen, can no longer be recognized. We have dealt with the point as exhaustively as necessary in the foregoing pages and pointed out that the Anulom and Pratilom systems recognized in our law-books bear indisputable testimony to the fact that a fusion sufficient to keep the flow of common blood through our body politic vigorous and fresh was even then an accomplished fact. Nature again broke the barriers where custom refused to pull them down in time Bheemsen was neither the first nor the last of Aryans to make lovs to a Hidimba, nor the Brahmin lady the mother of Vyadhakarma, to whom we have referred already, wae the only Aryan girl that took a fancy to a Vyadha youth. Out of a dozen Bhils or Kolis or even Santals, a youth or a girl may at times be picked up and dropped in a city school without any fear of being recognized as such either by a physical or by a moral test. The race that is born of the fusion, which on the whole is a healthy one, because gradual, of the Arvans, Kolarians, Dravidians and all those of our ancestors, whose blood we as a race inherit, is rightly called neither an Aryan, nor Kolarian nor Dravidian-but the Hindu race, that is, that People who live as children of a common motherland, adoring a common holyland-the land that hes between the Sindhus Therefore the Santals, Kolis, Bhils Panchamas. Namashudras and all other such tribes and classes are Hindus This Sindhusthan is as emphatically, if not more emphatically, the land of their forefathers as of those of the so-called Arvans, they

inherit the Hindu blood and the Hindu culture; and even those of them who have not as yet come fully under the ifinuence of any orthodox Hindu sect, do still worship deities and saints and follow a religion however primitive, are still purely attached to this land, which therefore to them is not only a Fatherland but a Holyland.

There would have been no serious objection raised against the cultural aspect of Hindutva too, but for the unfortunate misunderstanding that owes its origin to the confusing similarity between the two terms Hindutva and Hinduism. We have tried already to draw a clear line of demarcation between the two conceptions and protested against the wrong use of the word Hinduism to denote the Sanatan Dharma alone. Hindutya is not indentical with Hindu Dharma; nor is Hindu Dharma indentical with Hinduism. This twofold mistake that indentifies Hindutya with Hindu Dharma and both with Sanatani sect is justly resented by our non-Sanatani sects or religious systems and goads a small section of people amongst them-not to explode this mistaken notion, but unfortunately to commit another grave and suicidal mistake in the opposite direction and disown their Hindutya itself. We hope that our definition will leave no ground for any such bitterness of feelings on either side and based on truth as it is, would be acknowledged by all the fair-minded people throughout our Hindu society. But as in the general treatment of this question we could not take any notice of any special case we shall do so now. Let us first take the case of our Sikh brotherhood. No one could be so silly as to

contest the statement that Sindusthan, Asindhu Sindhu Paryanta yasya Bharatbhumika, 82 is their Fatherlandthe land that ever since the first extant records of the Vedic Period has been the land where their forefathers lived and loved and worshipped and prayed Secondly. they most undoubtedly inherit the Hindu blood in their veins as much as any one in Madras or Bengal does Nay more, while we Hindus in Maharashtra or Bengal inherit the blood of the Aryans as well as of those other ancient people who inhabited this land, the Sikhs are the almost direct descendants of those ancient Sindhus and can claim to have drunk their being at the very fountain of this Ganges of our Hindu life before she had descended down to the plains, Thirdly, they have contributed and to therefore are the rightful copartners in our Hindu culture. For Saraswati was a river in the Punjab before she became the Deified Image of Learning and Art To this day, do millions of Hindus throughtout Hindusthan join in the enchanted chorus with which the Sindhus, your forefathers, oh Sikhs, paid the tribute of a grateful people to, and extolled the glories of the River on whose banks the first seeds of our culture and civilization were sown and catching their Rigvedic accents sing 'Ambitame, Naditame, Devitame Saraswati, 83 the Vedas are theirs as they are ours, if not as a revelation yet as revered work that sings of the first giant struggles of man to tap the sources of nature The first giant struggle of Light against the forces of dark-

⁸² सियुस्थान, असिधुसियुपर्यता यस्य भारतभूमिता।

⁸³ अबिनमे, दबीतमे, नदीतमे सरस्वति ।

ness and ignorance, that had stolen and kept imprisoned the spirited waters and refused to allow the rays of Illumination touch man and rouse the soul in him. The story of the Sikhs, like any one of us must begin with the Vedas, pass on through the palaces of Ayodhya, witness the battlefield of Lanka, help Lahu to lay the foundation of Lahore and watch prince Sidhartha leave the confines of Kapilavastu and enter the caves to find some way out to lighten the sorrows of man. The Sikhs along with us bewail the fall of Prithvirai, share the fate of a conquered people and suffer together as Hindus, Millions of Sikh udasis, Nirmalas, the Gahangambhirs and the Sindhi. Sikhs adore the Sanskrit language not only as the language of their ancestors but as the sacred language of their land. While the rest cannot but own it as the tongue of their forefathers and as the Mother of Gurumukhi and Punjabi, which vet in its infancy is still sucking the milk of life at its breast. Lastly the land Asindhu Sindhuparyanta is not only the Pitribhu also the Punyabhu to the Sikhs. The land spread from the tiver, Sindhu, to the seas is not only the fatherland but also the holyland to the Sikhs. Guru Nanak and Guru Govind, Shri Banda and Ramsing were born and bred in Hindusthan; the lakes of Hindusthan are the lakes of nectar (Amritsar) and of freedom-(Muktasar); the land of Hindusthan is the land of prophets and prayer-Gurudvar and Gurughar. Really if any community in India is Hindu beyond cavil or criticism it is our Sikh brotherhood in the Punjab, being almost the autochthonous dwellers of the Saptsindhu land and the direct descendants of the

contest the statement that Sindusthan, Asindhii Sindhii Paryanta yasya Bharatbhumika, 82 is their Fatherlandthe land that ever since the first extant records of the Vedic Period has been the land where their forefathers lived and loved and worshipped and prayed Secondly. they most undoubtedly inherit the Hindu blood in their veins as much as any one in Madras or Bengal does Nay more, while we Hindus in Maharashtra or Bengal inherit the blood of the Arvans as well as of those other ancient people who inhabited this land, the Sikhs are the almost direct descendants of those ancient Sindhus and can claim to have drunk their being at the very fountain of this Ganges of our Hindu life before she had descended down to the plains, Thirdly, they have contributed and to therefore are the rightful coparîners in our Hindu culture, For Saraswati was a river in the Punjab before she became the Deified Image of Learning and Art To this day, do millions of Hindus throughtout Hindusthan join in the enchanted chorus with which the Sindhus, your forefathers, oh Sikhs, paid the tribute of a grateful people to, and extolled the glories of the River on whose banks the first seeds of our culture and civilization were sown and catching their Rigvedic accents sing 'Ambitame, Naditame, Devitame Saraswati, 83 the Vedas are theirs as they are ours, if not as a revelation yet as revered work that sings of the first giant struggles of man to tap the sources of nature. The first grint struggle of Light against the forces of dark-

⁸² नियस्यान, असिधसिधपर्यंता यस्य भारतभि हा ।

⁸³ अविनमे. देवीतमे, नदीतम सरस्वति ।

ness and ignorance, that had stolen and kept imprisoned the spirited waters and refused to allow the rays of Illumination touch man and rouse the soul in him. The story of the Sikhs, like any one of us must begin with the Vedas, pass on through the palaces of Ayodhya, witness the battlefield of Lanka, help Lahu to lay the foundation of Lahore and watch prince Sidhartha leave the confines of Kapilavastu and enter the caves to find some way out to lighten the sorrows of man. The Sikhs along with us bewail the fall of Prithviraj, share the fate of a conquered people and suffer together as Hindus, Millions of Sikh udasis, Nirmalas, the Gahangambhirs and the Sindhi. Sikhs adore the Sanskrit language not only as the language of their ancestors but as the sacred language of their land. While the rest cannot but own it as the tongue of their forefathers and as the Mother of Gurumukhi and Punjabi, which vet in its infancy is still sucking the milk of life at its breast. Lastly the land Asindhu Sindhuparyanta is not only the Pitribhu also the Punyabhu to the Sikhs. The land spread from the river, Sindhu, to the seas is not only the fatherland but also the holyland to the Sikhs. Guru Nanak and Guru Govind, Shri Banda and Ramsing were born and bred in Hindusthan; the lakes of Hindusthan are the lakes of nectar (Amritsar) and of freedom-(Muktasar); the land of Hindusthan is the land of prophets and prayer-Gurudvar and Gurughar, Really if any community in India is Hindu beyond cavil or criticism it is our Sikh brotherhood in the Punjab, being almost the autochthonous dwellers of the Santsindhu land and the direct descendants of the

Sindhu or Hindu people. The Sikh of to day is the Hindu of yesterday and the Hindu of to-day may be the Sikh of tomorrow. The change of a dress, or a custom, or a detail of daily life cannot change the blood or the seed, nor can efface and blot out history itself.

To the millions of our Sikh brethren their Hindutya is self-evident. The Sahaidhari, udasi, Nirmal, Gahangambhir and the Sindhi Sikhs are proud of being Hindus by race and by nationality As their Gurus themselves had been the children of Hindus they would fail to understand if not resent any such attempt to class them as Non Hindus The Gurugrantha is read by the Sanatanis as well as by the Sikhs as a sacred work, both of them have fairs and festivals in common The Sikhs of the Tatkhalsa sect also so far as the bulk of their population is concerned, are equally attached to their racial appellation and live amongst Hindus as Hindus It cannot be but shocking to them to be told that they had suddenly ceased to be Hindus Our racial Unity is so unchallenged and complete that inter-marriages are quite common amongst the Sikhs and Sanatanis

The fact is that the protest that is at times raised by some leaders of our Sikh brothenhood against their being classed as Hindus would never have been heard if the term. Hindusm was not allowed to get identical with Sanatanism. This confusion of ideas and the vagueness of expression resulting therefrom, are at the root of this fatal tendency that mars at times the

cordial realtions existing between our sister Hindu communities. We have tried to make it clear that Hindutya is not to be determined by any theological tests. Yet we must repeat it once more that the Sikhs are free to reject any or all things they dislike as superstitions in Sanatandharma, even the binding authority of the Vedas as a revelation. They thereby may cease to be Sanatanis, but cannot cease to be Hindus, Sikhs are Hindus in the sense of our definition of Hindutya and not in any religious sense whatever. Religiously they are Sikhs as Jains are Jains. Lingavats are Lingavats, Vaishnavas are Vaishnavas; but all of us racially and nationally and culturally are a polity and a people, one and indivisible, most fitly and from times immemorial called Hindus. No other word can express our racial oneness-not even Bharativa can do that for reasons dealt with in the forgoing pages. Bharatiya indicates an Indian and expresses a larger generalization but cannot express racial unity of us Hindus. We are Sikhs, and Hindus and Bharativas. We are all three put

together and none exclusively.

Another reason besides this fear of being indentified with the followers of Sanatanpanth which added to the zeal of some of our Sikh brothers and made them insist on getting classed separately as non-Hindus, was a political one. This is not the place of entering into merits or demerits of special representation. The Sikhs were naturally anxious to guard the special interests of their community and if the Mohammedans could enjoy the privilege of a special and communal representation, we do not understand why any other

important minority in India should not claim similar concession But we feel that, that claim should not have been backed up by our Sikh brothers by an untenable and suicidal plea of being non Hindus Sikhs, to guard their own interests could have pressed for and succeeded in securing special and communal representation on the ground of being an important minority as our non-Brahmins and other communities have done without renouncing their birthright of Hindutva. Our Sikh brotherhood is certainly not a less important community than the Mohammedans -in fact to us Hindus they are more important than any non-Hindu community in India The harm that a special and communal representation does is never so great as the harm done by the attitude of racial aloofness Let the Sikhs, the Jains, the Lingayats, the non-Brahmins and even for the matter of that. Brahmins press and fight for the right of special and communal representation, if they honestly look upon it as indispensable for their communal growth For their growth is the growth of the whole Hindusociety. Even in ancient times our four main castes enjoyed a kind of special representation on communal basis in our councils of State as well as in local bodies They could do that without refusing to get fused into the larger whole and incorporated into the wider generalization of Hindutva, Let the Sikhs be classed as Sikhs religiously, but as Hindus racially and culturally

The brave people placed their heads by hundreds under the executioner's axe rather than disown their Guru, 81 Will they disown their seed, forswear their fathers and sell their birthright for a mess of pottage? God forbid! Let our minorities remember that if strength lies in union, then in Hundutya lies the firmest and yet the dearest bond that can effect a real, lasting and powerful union of our people. You may fancy that it pays you to remain aloof for the passing hour, but it would do incalculable harm to this our ancient race and civilization as a whole -and especially to yourselves. Your interests are indissolubly bound with the interests of your other Hindu brethren. Whenever in the future as in the past a foreigner raises a sword against the Hindu civilization it is sure to strike you as deadly as any other Hindu community. Whenever in future as in the past the Hindus as a people come to their own and under a Shivaji or a Ranjit, a Ramchandra or a Dharma, an Ashoka or an Amoghwarsha feeling the quickening touch of life and activity mount the pinnacles of glory and greatness-that day would shed its lustre on you as well as on any other members of our Hindu commonwealth. So, brothers, be not lured by the immediate gains, partly or otherwise, nor be duped by misreadings and misinterpretations of history. I was once told by one who posing as a Granthi was nevertheless convicted for committing a dacoity in the house of a Brahmin to whom he owed money and whom he consequently murdered, that the Sikhs were not Hindus and that they could incur no guilt by killing a Brahmin as the sons of Govindsing

⁸⁴ धर्महेत शाका जिन किया । शिर दिया शिरह न दिया !

were betrayed by a Brahmin cook Fortunately there was another Sikh gentleman and a real Granthi and was recognized as such by all learned Sikhs who immediately contradicted and cornered him by several examples of Matidas and others, who had sheltered the Guru and proved true to the Sikhs even unto martyrdom Was not Shivan betrayed by his kith and kin and his grandson again by a Pisal who too was a Hindu? But did Shivan or his nation disown their race and cease to be Hindus? Many of the Sikhs have acted treacherously first at the time of desertion of the heroic Banda, then again at the time of the last war of the Khalsa forces with the English Guru Govindsing himself was deserted by a number of Sikhs in the very thick of the fight and it was this act of treacherous cowardice of these Sikhs which by forcing our hon-hearted Guru to try a desperate sortie gave occasion to that cursed. Brahmin wretch to betray his two sons. If, therefore, for the crime of the latter we cease to be Hindus, then for the crime of the former we ought to cease to be Sikhs too!

This minority of the Hindux as well as the major communities of them did not fall from the skies as separate creations. They are an organic growth that has its roots embedded deep in a common land and in a isomeone utilities. When a kachehha and Kripans on it, make a lion of it! If the Guru succeeded in forming a band of maritys and warriors he could do so because the race that produced him as well as that band for capable of

⁸⁵ वच्छ क्षाण

being moulded thus. The lion's seed alone can breed lions, The flower cannot say 'I bloom and smell: surely I cane out of the stafk alone — I have nothing to do with the roots!' No more can we deny our seed or our blood. As soon as you point at a Sikh who was true to his Guru you have automatically pointed at a Hindu who was true to the Guru for before being a Sikh he was, and yet continues to be a Hindu. So long as our Sikh brethren are true to Sikhism they must of necessity continue to be Hindus for so long must this land, this Bharatbhumika from Sindhu to the seas, remain their Fatherland and their Holyland. It is by ceasing to be Sikhs alone that they may, perhaps, cease to be Hindus.

We have dealt at some length with this special case of our Sikh brotherhood as all those arguments and remarks would automatically test all similar cases of our other non-Vaidik sects and religions in the light of our definition. The Devsamajis for example are agnosticis but Hindutva has little to do with agnosticism, or for the matter of that, atheism. The Devsamajis look on this land as the land of their forefathers, their fatherland as well as their Holyland and are therefore Hindus. Of course, it is superfluous, after all this to refer to our Aryasamaj. All the essentials of Hindutva hold good in their case so eminently that they are Hindus. We, in fact, are unable to hit upon any case that can lay our definition open to the charge of exclusiveness.

In one case alone it seems to offer some real difficulty. Is, for example, Sister Nivedita a Hindu? If ever

an exception proves the rule it does so here. Our patriotic and noble-minded sister had adopted our land from Sindu to the seas as her Fatherland She truly loved it as such, and had our nation been free, we would have been the first to bestow the right of citizenship on such loving souls So the first essential may, to some extent, be said to hold good in her case. The second essential of common blood of Hindu parentage must, nevertheless and necessarily, be absent in such cases as these The sacrament of marriage with a Hindu which really fuses and is universally admitted to do so, two beings into one may be said to remove this disqualification But although this second essential failed, either way to hold good in her case, the third important qualification of Hindutva did entitle her to be recognized as a Hindu For she had adopted our culture and come to adore our land as her Holyland She felt, she was a Hindu and that is, apart from all technicalities, the real and the most important test. But we must not forget that we have to determine the essentials of Hindutya in the sense in which the word is actually used by an overwhelming majority of people And therefore we must say that any convert of non Hindu parentage to Hindutva can be a Hindu, if bona fide, he or she adopts our land as his or her country and marries a Hindu, thus coming to love our land as a real Fatherland, and adopts our culture and thus adores our land as the Punyabhu The children of such a union as that would, other things being equal. be most emphatically Hindus We are not authorized to go further

But by coming to believe into the tenets of any sects of the Hindus a foreign convert may be recognized as a Sanatani, or a Sikh, or a Jain; and as these religions being founded by or revealed to Hindus, go by the name of Hindudharma the convert too, may be religiously called a Hindu. But it must be understood that a religious or cultural convert possesses only one of the three essentials of Hindutya and it is owing to this disqualification that people generally do not recognise as a Hindu any one and every one who subscribes to the religious beliefs of our race. So deep our feeling of gratitude is towards a Sister Nivedita or an Annie Resant for the services they rendered to the cause of our Motherland and our culture, so soft-hearted and sensitive to the touch of love as a race we Hindus are, that Sister Nivedita or a person like her who so completely identifies his or her being with the Being of our people, is almost unconsciously received in the Hindu fold. But it should be done as an exception to the rule. The rule itself must neither be too rigid nor too elastic. The several tests to which we have subjected our definition of Hindutva have, we believe. proved that it satisfies both these requirements and involves neither Avyapti nor Ativyapti; neither contraction nor expansion of the exact connotation.

Unique Natural Blessings to Hindusthan

So far we have not allowed any considerations of utility to prejudice our inquiry. But having come to its end it will not be out of place to see how far the attributes, which we found to be the essentials of Hindutya, contribute towards the strength, cohesion, and progress

of our people Do these essentials constitute a foundation so broad, so deep, so strong that basing upon it the Hindu people can build a future which can face and repel the attacks of all the adverse winds that blow, or does the Hindu race stand on feet of clay?

Some of the ancient nations raised huge walls so as to convert a whole country into a fortified castle To-day their walls are trodden to dust or are but scarcely discernible by a few scattered mounds here and there. while the people they were meant to protect are not discernible at all Our ancient neighbours, the Chinese. labouted from generation to generation and raised a rampart, embracing the limits of an empire, so wide, so high, so strong, a wonder of the human world That too, as all human wonders must, sank under its own weight But behold the ramparts of Nature! Have they not, these Himalayas, been standing there as one whose desires are satisfied-so they seemed to the Vedic bard -so they seem to us to-day These are our ramparts that have converted this vast continent into a cosy castle

You take up buckets and fill your trenches with water and call it a moat Behold, Varuna himself, with his one hand pushing continents aside fills the gap by pouring seas on seas with the other! This Indian ocean with its bays and gulfs, is our moat

These are our frontier lines bringing within our reach the advantages of an island as well as an insular country

She is the richly endowed, daughter of God-this our Motherland. Her rivers are deep and perennial. Her land is yielding to plough and her fields loaded with golden harvests. Her necessaries of life are few and a genial nature yields them all almost for the asking. Rich in her fauna, rich in her flora, she knows she owes it all to the immediate source of light and heat-the sun. She covets not the icy lands; blessed be they and their frozen latitudes. If heat is at times 'enervating' here, cold is at times benumbing there. If cold induces manual labour, heat removes much of its very necessity. She takes more delight in quenched thirst than in the parched throat. Those who have not, let them delight in exerting to have. But those who have-may be allowed to derive pleasure from the very fact of having. Father Thames is free to work at feverish speed, wrapped in his icy sheets. She loves to visit her ghats and watch her boats gliding down the Ganges on her moonlit waters. With the plough, the peacocks, and lotus, the elephant and the Gita, she is willing to forego, if that must be, whatever advantage the colder latitudes enjoy. She knows she cannot have all her own way. Her gardens are green and shady, her granaries well-stocked, her waters crystal, her flowers scented, her fruits jujey and her herbs healing. Her brush is dipped in the colours of Dawn and her flute resonant with the music of Gokul. Verily Hind is the richly endowed daugher of God.

Neither the Eaglish nor the French with the exception of the Chinese and perhaps the Americans, no people are gifted with a lend that can equal in natural

strength and richness the land of Sindusthan A country a common home is the first important essential of a stable strong nationality, and as of all countries in the world our country can hardly be surpassed by any in its capacity to afford a soil so specially fitted for the growth of a great nation, we Hindus whose very first article of faith is the love we bear to the common Fatherland, have in that love the strongest raismanne that can bind close and keep a nation firm and enthuse and enable it to accomplish things greater than ever

The second essential of Hindutva puts the estimate of our latent powers of national cohesion and greatness yet higher. No country in the world with the exception of China again, is peopled by a race so homogeneous, yet so ancient and yet so strong both numerically and vitally. The Americans too, whom we found equally fortunate with us so far as excellent geographical basis of nationality is concerned, are decidedly left behind. Mohammedans are no race nor are the Christians. They are a religious unit, yet neither a racial nor a national one. But we Hindus, if possible, are all the three put together, and live under our ancient and common roof. The numerical strength of our race is an asset that cannot be too highly prized.

And culture? The English and the Americans feel they are kith and kin because they possess a Sbake-bepare in common But not only Kaldas or a Bhasa but, Oh Hindus' ye possess a Ramayan and Mahabharaí in common—and the Vedas' One of the national songs the American children are taught to sing

attempts to rouse their sense of eternal self-importance by pointing out to the hundred years twice told that stand behind their history. The Hindu counts his years not by centuries but by cycles—the Yuga and the Kalpa and amazed asks

> रघुपते: नव गतोत्तरकोशला। यदुपते: नव गता मयुरापुरी !!

The Uttra Kosala of Raghupathi is nowhere to be seen, nor is Shri Krishna's city of Mathura. He does not attempt to rouse the sense of self-importance so much as the sense of proportion which is Truth. And that has perhaps made him last longer than Ramses and Nebuchadnezzar. If a people that had no past has no future, then a people that had produced an unending galaxy of heroes and heroworshippers and who are conscious of having fought with and vaquished the forces whose might struck Greece and Rome, the Pharaohs and the Incas, dead, have in their history a guarantee of their future greatness more assuring than any other people on

But besides culture the tie of common holyland has at times proved stronger than the chains of a Motherland. Look at the Mohammedans. Mecca to them is a sterner reality than Delhi or Agra. Some of them do not make any secret of being bound to sacrifice all India if that be to the glory of Islam or could save the city of their prophet. Look at the Jews; neither centuries of prosperity nor sense of gratitude for

earth yet possess.

the shelter they found, can make them more attached or even equally attached to the several countries they inhabit. Their love is, and must necessarily be divided between the land of their birth and the land of their Prophets If the Zionists' dreams are ever realized-if Palestine becomes a Jewish State and it will gladden us almost as much as our Jewish friends-they, like the Mohammedans would naturally set the interests of their Holyland above those of their Motherland in Ame rica and Europe and in case of war between their adopted country and the Jewish State, would naturally sympathise with the litter, if indeed they do not bodily go over to it. History is too full of examples of such desertions to cite particulars. The crusades again, attest to the wonderful influence that a common holyland exercises over peoples widely separated in race, nationality and language, to bind and hold them together

The ideal conditions therefore, under which a nation can attain perfect solidarity and collesion would, other things being equal, be found in the case of those people who inhabit the land they adore, the land of whose forefathers is also the land of their Gods and Angels, of Seers and Prophets, the scenes of whose history are also the scenes of their mythology

The Hindus are about the only people who are blessed with these ideal conditions that are at the same time incentive to national solidarity, cohesion and greatness. Not even the Chinese are blessed thus Only Arabin and Palestine, if ever the Jews can succeed

in founding their state there, can be said to possess this unique advantage. But Arabia is incomparably poorer in the natural, cultural, historical, and numerical essentials of a great people; and even if the dreams of the Zionists are ever realized into a Palestine State still they too must be equally lacking in these.

England, France, Germany, Italy, Turkey proper, Persia, Japan, Afganistan, Egypt of to-day (for the old descendants of 'Punto' and their Egypt is dead long since), and other African states, Mexico, Peru, Chile (not to mention states and nations lesser than all these), though racially more or less hemogeneous are yet less advantageously situated than we are in geographical, cultural, historical and numerical essentials, besides lacking the unique gift of a sanctified Motherland. Of the remaining nations, Russia in Europe, and United states in America, though geographically equally well-gifted with us, are yet poorer, in almost every other requisite of nationality. China alone of the present comity of nations is almost as richly gifted with the geographical, racial, cultural essentials as the Hindus are. Only in the possession of a common, a sacred and a perfect language, the Sanskrit, and a sanctified Motherland, we are so far as the essentials that contribute to national solidarity are concerned more fortunate.

Thus the actual essentials of Hindutva are, as this running sketch reveals, also the ideal essentials of nationality. If we would, we could build on this

mans and Christians on a non religious and secular basis But when the war with Servia came the Christians and Armenians first wavered and then many a regiment consisting of them went bodily over to the Servians, who politically and racially and religiously were more closely bound up with them Take the case of America, when the German war broke out she suddenly had to face the danger of desertions of her German citizens, while the Negro citizens there sympathise more with their brethren in Africa than with their white countrymen American State, in the last resort, must stand or fall with the fortunes of its Anglo-Saxon constituents So with the Hindus, they being the people, whose past, present and future are most clesely bound with the soil of Hindusthan as Pitribhu, as Punyabhu, they constitute the foundation, the bedrock, the reserved forces of the Indian state Therefore even from the point of Indian nationality, must ye, O Hindus, consolidate and strengthen Hindu nationality, not to give wanton offence to any of our non-Hindu compatriots, in fact to any one in the world but in just and urgent defence of our race and land, to render it impossible for others to betray her or to subject her to unprovoked attack by any of those 'Pan isms' that are struggling forth from continent to continent As long as other communities in India or in the world are not respectively planning India first or mankind first, but all are busy in organizing offensive and defensive alliances and combinations on entirely narrow racial or religious or national basis, so long, at least, so long O Hindus, strengthen if you can those subtle bonds that like nerve threads bind you in

one organic social being. Those of you who in a fit suicidal try to cut off the most vital of those ties and dare to disown the name Hindu will find to their cost that in doing so they have cut themselves off from the very source of our racial life and strength.

The presence of only a few of these essentials of nationality which we have found to constitute Hindutva enabled little nations like Spain or Portugal to get themselves lionized in the world. But when all of those ideal conditions obtain here what is there in the human world that the Hindus cannot accomplish?

Thirty crores of people, with India for their basis of operation, for their Fatherland and for their Holyland with such a history behind them, bound together by ties of a common blood and common culture can dictate their terms to the whole world. A day will come when mankind will have to face the force.

Equally certain it is that whenever the Hindus come to hold such a position whence they could dictate terms to the whole world—those terms cannot be very different from the terms which Gna dictates or the Buddha lays down. A Hindu is most intensely so, when he ceases to be Hindu; and with a Shankar claims the whole earth for a Benares 'Waranasi Medini!' or with a Tukaram exclaims

'आमुचा स्वदेश । भुवनत्रयामध्ये वास ।'
'my country! Oh brothers, 'the limits of the Universe
—there the frontiers of my country lie?'

OTHER BOOKS WRITTEN BY

Swatantrya Veer V. D Savarkar

(1) War of Indian Independence 1857

This book was Written in 1908, It was proscribed by the Bruish Government before its publication; Lala Hardayal, Martyr Bhagat Singh & Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Published its underground editions. It is honoured as Bhagawad Geeta by Armed Revolutionaries. Ban on it was lifted in 1946. Now it is translated in Hindi, Tamil, Malyali, Gujarathi.

| Complete English Edition (in Press) | Rs. | 25 |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Abriged - " | Rs. | 2 |
| Hindutwa, Hindu Rashtra Darshan, | | |
| Hindu Pad Padshahi (In one Book)- | Rs. | 15 |
| Historic Statements | Rs | 25 |
| Six Golden Chapters of Hindu | | |
| History (in Press) | Rs | 25 |
| Veer Savarkar - Biography: By D. Keer | Rs | 30 |

For Veer Savarkar's Literature and about Copy right
Write to

Shri Balarao Savarkar, Veer Savarkar Prakashan, Savarkar Sadan, 71 Shivajee Park, Bombay 28